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A DIAGNOSTIC ASSESSMENT OF THE HEALTH SYSTEM’S RESPONSE TO FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION/CUTTING MANAGEMENT AND PREVENTION IN KENYA: A CASE STUDY OF WEST POKOT COUNTY

BACKGROUND

Female genital mutilation (FGM/C), a pervasive cultural practice in some contexts, is associated with negative impacts on women’s and girls’ health and rights. The health sector is well positioned to respond to the prevention of FGM/C and the management of its complications because of its primary role in disease prevention, health promotion and curative services. In Kenya, the health sector’s role is anchored in national and county legal and policy instruments and guidelines that are adopted or customised from global or regional frameworks as well as skills support tools approved by WHO.

Despite the existence of instruments, guidelines and tools that should direct a robust health system’s response to FGM/C, the practice persists—with emerging shifts, notably medicalisation, posing substantial challenges. Additionally, evidence on the implementation of relevant FGM/C-related legal or policy frameworks in the health sector is scarce.

This brief highlights findings from a recent study of the health system in West Pokot County in Kenya. The aims of the study were to:

- examine how the healthcare sector supports the prevention of FGM/C;
- determine the health sector’s role in the management of FGM/C-related complications and the quality of care offered to clients; and
- identify possible solutions for strengthening the healthcare system’s capacity to manage and prevent FGM/C.

West Pokot County was selected because of the high prevalence of FGM/C, particularly infibulation, which is associated with an increased risk of health complications.

METHODS

We conducted a mixed-method study involving qualitative and quantitative data collection at the national and county level. A summary of the data-collection activities is presented in Figure 1.
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RECOMMENDATIONS

- Sensitise healthcare providers about laws and policies relevant to health sector-led FGM/C-related prevention and management.

- Develop support tools for healthcare providers on FGM/C-related prevention and management interventions.

- Enhance healthcare providers’ capacities to manage women and girls with FGM/C-related complications, including sexual and psychological complications.

- Strengthen the referral system for FGM/C-related complications through identification and establishment of a database of specialists and health facilities offering FGM/C interventions.

- Ensure that FGM/C data are anchored in the health management information system and that appropriate tools for the collection and documentation of FGM/C data are availed.

- Advocate for gender responsive budgeting to hold the health sector accountable for investing in the prevention and management of FGM/C.
Results of the observations of client–provider interaction showed that few healthcare providers discussed FGM/C with their clients (Figure 2). Although referrals for women and girls with obstetric, gynaecologic, and immediate complications were reported, it was unclear how the referral system worked.

**Figure 1. Percentage of health providers who discussed FGM/C with patients (source: client–provider interactions, n=61)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Explained how FGM/C might have undermined health physically and mentally</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asked about possible effects of FGM/C</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asked about the severity of cut</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asked whether client has been cut before</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY FINDINGS**

**What is the legal and policy framework that anchors the health system’s response?**


Few health-service providers were, however, aware of these instruments at the operational level. This in turn impacts implementation and service delivery to women and girls with FGM/C.

**What is the health system’s capacity to deliver preventive and curative FGM/C services?**

Although health facilities in West Pokot had the requisite infrastructure and human capacity to implement preventive interventions for FGM/C, few health sector–led FGM/C-related interventions were implemented. The primary reason for the limited number of FGM/C preventive interventions was the lack of resources, namely funds; guidelines; protocols; and information, education, and communication (IEC) materials. Furthermore, lack of skills and expertise in implementing interventions for women with FGM/C was evidenced by a high number of referrals and erroneous indications for caesarean section and double episiotomies instead of the standard de-infibulation for women with birth complications.

**What FGM/C-related health services are provided?**

- Women and girls sought services for immediate, obstetric, gynaecologic, sexual, and psychological complications of FGM/C.
- Providers noted that it was challenging to effectively manage these complications. For example, managing excessive bleeding was difficult because facilities lacked blood banks, prompting referral. In some instances, the providers lacked skills to perform de-infibulation on women with birth complications and instead performed a double episiotomy or caesarean section or referred to the next-level health facility.
- There was limited evidence on how FGM/C-related sexual and psychological complications were managed.

**CONCLUSION**

Although Kenya has robust legal and policy frameworks that should direct the health sector’s response to FGM/C, implementation of actionable interventions is inconsistent or lacking. This is evidenced by minimal FGM/C prevention interventions and explicit challenges in the management of FGM/C complications. These findings underscore the need for the health sector to translate relevant legal or policy frameworks into tools that can support interventions at facilities.
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