2019

Assessing the effect of a primary health care intervention for improving pre-eclampsia and eclampsia knowledge and practice in Bangladesh

Sharif M.I. Hossain
Population Council

Shongkour Roy
Population Council

Kanij Sultana
Population Council

Charlotte E. Warren
Population Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://knowledgecommons.popcouncil.org/departments_sbsr-rh

Part of the [Demography, Population, and Ecology Commons](#), [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](#), [International Public Health Commons](#), [Maternal and Child Health Commons](#), and the [Medicine and Health Commons](#)

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Hossain, Sharif M.I., Shongkour Roy, Kanij Sultana, and Charlotte E. Warren. 2019. "Assessing the effect of a primary health care intervention for improving pre-eclampsia and eclampsia knowledge and practice in Bangladesh," Ending Eclampsia Endline Report. Washington, DC: Population Council.

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Population Council.

**ENDING
Eclampsia**

Assessing the effect of a primary health care intervention for improving pre-eclampsia and eclampsia knowledge and practice in Bangladesh

Sharif Hossain
Shongkour Roy
Kanj Sultana
Charlotte Warren

August 2019

USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

**POPULATION
COUNCIL**
Ideas. Evidence. Impact.

ENDING Eclampsia

Ending Eclampsia seeks to expand access to proven, under-utilized interventions and commodities for the prevention, early detection, and treatment of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia and strengthen global partnerships.

The Population Council confronts critical health and development issues—from stopping the spread of HIV to improving reproductive health and ensuring that young people lead full and productive lives. Through biomedical, social science, and public health research in 50 countries, we work with our partners to deliver solutions that lead to more effective policies, programs, and technologies that improve lives around the world. Established in 1952 and headquartered in New York, the Council is a non-governmental, non-profit organization governed by an international board of trustees.

Population Council
4301 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 280
Washington, DC 20008
Tel: +1. 877 237 9400
www.popcouncil.org

Population Council
House 12, Road 25/30
Gulshan 1, Dhaka 1212
Bangladesh
Tel: +88 02 9842276, 9846657
email: info.bangladesh@popcouncil.org

The Ending Eclampsia project is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of USAID APS-OAA-A-14-00048. The contents of this report are the sole responsibility of the Ending Eclampsia project and the Population Council and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	iv
List of Abbreviations.....	v
Executive Summary.....	vi
Introduction and Background	1
Methodology	2
Objectives.....	3
Intervention.....	3
Data Collection and Analysis	4
Ethical Review and Informed Consent Process	4
Selection of Implementation Sites	6
Results.....	7
Service Providers' Background Characteristics	7
Antenatal Care Clients' Background Characteristics.....	8
Policy and Programming for Pre-Eclampsia and Eclampsia	9
Health System.....	144
Clients' Experiences at Facilities and Quality of Care.....	244
Survivors' Experiences	28
Discussion.....	31
Recommendations	33
References.....	334
Appendices	1a

Acknowledgments

This report was prepared using data collected on the post-intervention findings of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia prevention, detection, and management in Bangladesh, particularly for increasing the use of magnesium sulphate in selected facilities. Intervention scale up and several implementation research (IR) studies were conducted in collaboration with the Directorate General of Family Planning (DGFP) and extended support from Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS) and Obstetric & Gynecological Society of Bangladesh (OGSB). We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Dr. Kazi Mustafa Sarwar, Director General, DGFP, MoH&FW for his keen interest in the subject and extending his passionate support.

We are thankful to the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) for their technical and financial support and for providing necessary guidance to complete intervention scale up and implementation research studies. Particular thanks to Ms. Emily Hillman, Dr. Samina Choudhury, and Ms. Edna Jones who have given their continuous guidance and intelligence to complete the studies. We would also like acknowledge MacArthur foundation's supports for part of the studies.

We would also like to express our sincere thanks and gratitude to Dr. Mohammed Sharif, Director (MCH-Services) and Line Director MCRAH, DGFP for his leadership and guidance for intervention scale up and completion of implementation research studies. We like to thank Dr. Tapash Ranjan Das, former Deputy Director, MCH, MCH Unit, DGFP; Dr. Fahmida Sultana, Deputy Director, MCH services, Dr. Farid Uddin Ahmed, Deputy Director, MCH (RAH), DGFP; Dr ABM Shamsuddin Ahmed, PM (Support Service & Co-ordination), MCH Unit, DGFP and Dr Nasima Khatun, Deputy Program Manager (MNCAH), DGHS for their policy and administrative support and cooperation for intervention scale up, completion of implementation research studies, assistance in data collection and monitoring program implementation.

Authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Civil Surgeons (CS) and Deputy Directors of Family Planning (DD-FP), UH&FPOs, UFPOs and Ob/Gyn consultants of Comilla, Rangpur, Tangail and Bhola districts for their tremendous support during intervention scale up, training, implementation of IR studies, data collection and monitoring. It is the participating service providers who successfully completed the project activities, our salutation to their dedication and we acknowledge their performance.

Regards and thanks are due to all respondents who made their valuable time available to provide interviews. We are indebted and respectful to those mothers who had given their time and patience by providing interviews and provided valuable information. Without their cooperation, the study would not have been possible.

Finally, we appreciate our colleagues at the Population Council who have given their efforts in training, data collection and analysis, and administrative support.

List of Acronyms

ANC	Antenatal Care
BDHS	Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey
BMMS	Bangladesh Maternal Mortality Survey
CEmOC	Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric Care
DGFP	Directorate General of Family Planning
DGHS	Directorate General of Health Services
DH	District Hospital
FP	Family Planning
FWA	Family Welfare Assistant
FWV	Family Welfare Visitor
Ob/Gyn	Obstetrics and Gynecology
HDP	Hypertensive Disease of Pregnancy
IDI	In-Depth Interview
IEC	Information Education and Communication
MCWC	Mother and Child Welfare Centre
MgSO₄	Magnesium Sulphate
MMR	Maternal Mortality Ratio
MNH	Maternal and Newborn Health
MoH	Ministry of Health
MoH&FW	Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
OGSB	Obstetrical and Gynecological Society of Bangladesh
PE/E	Pre-Eclampsia/Eclampsia
PHC	Primary Health Care
PNC	Postnatal Care
RH	Reproductive Health
SACMO	Sub-Assistant Community Medical Officer
SPE	Severe Pre-Eclampsia
UH&FWC	Union Health and Family Welfare Centre
UHC	Upazila Health Complex
USAID	United States Agency for International Development

Executive Summary

In Bangladesh, 24 percent of maternal deaths are due to pre-eclampsia and eclampsia (PE/E)—and nearly all of these deaths are preventable. With a mission to focus attention and efforts on PE/E, the Ending Eclampsia project scaled up PE/E early detection, prevention, and management in 136 primary health care (PHC) facilities in Bangladesh, including 20 referral facilities, for over 24 months to assess effectiveness and acceptability of under-utilized strategies and commodities to reduce morbidities and mortalities of mothers and their babies. This intervention included training on hypertension during pregnancy and PE/E, refresher training after three months, and guaranteeing availability of commodities and supplies including magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄) for intramuscular injections, urine dipsticks, syringes and needles, functioning sphygmomanometer and stethoscope, along with a service delivery protocol for PHC providers. This pre-post, cross-sectional research design integrated both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods at baseline (2015) and endline (2018).

This intervention, targeting PHC facilities, has resulted in a number of notable successes. A national service delivery protocol for PHC service providers' administration of MgSO₄ with referrals was adopted, followed by the incorporation of PE/E instruction in PHC providers' basic curricula, and its inclusion in the government's operation plan for 2017 through 2022, which includes a MgSO₄ procurement plan. There is evidence of improved PHC provider knowledge, abilities, and practices for detecting, preventing, and managing PE/E, improved facility readiness to provide PE/E services, improved quality of care, and increased PE/E detection and administration of MgSO₄, all with minimum poor outcomes.

Assessments of facility readiness show significant improvements from baseline to endline in availability and functionality of PE/E commodities and supplies in the intervention facilities, particularly blood pressure (BP) machines, stethoscopes, urine dipsticks, MgSO₄, as well as the service delivery protocol for diagnosis and management of PE, severe PE, and eclampsia (PE/SPE/E). Correct knowledge on hypertensive disorders, particularly during pregnancy, including PE/SPE/E, significantly improved at endline compared to baseline. Similarly, service providers' diagnostic abilities for SPE/E significantly improved at endline—except for chronic hypertension. There was also fourteen-fold increase in knowledge of the loading dose of MgSO₄ from baseline. All of these findings indicate positive impacts on provider knowledge, competence, and facility readiness.

Provider practice of PE/E detection and management significantly improved at endline. Similarly, provider administration of a loading dose of MgSO₄ significantly increased from baseline (12%) to endline (48%). The quality of antenatal care (ANC) significantly improved as well. No maternal deaths were recorded among those who received a MgSO₄ loading dose during PHC. These findings are extraordinarily positive changes, particularly because PHC facilities had no procedures for PE/E detection, prevention, or management prior to the intervention.

A few areas could benefit from more attention. At endline some patients, albeit a small proportion, with severe PE/E (SPE) were not given MgSO₄ or refused it. Additionally, the detection rate of hypertensive disease in pregnancy (HDP) was still low at endline, which indicates more efforts needed to motivate service providers for consistent, correct BP measurement and urine testing for albumin during ANC visits.

Finally, significant improvement in screening pregnant women for high risk factors during ANC, diagnosis of PE/E among ANC and postnatal care (PNC) patients, uptake of PE/E services over 24 months, and use of MgSO₄ in case of SPE/E at endline are considered as contributions of our implementation of PHC PE/E model. Policymakers and program managers, service providers, and other stakeholders strongly advocate for the scale up of this intervention in other parts of the country, for SDG achievement.

Background

Globally, approximately 830 women die every day from preventable complications related to pregnancy or childbirth, and 7,300 women experience a stillbirth (World Health Organization 2018; WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank, United Nations Population Division 2015; *Lancet* 2016). Around 10 percent of women experience hypertensive disease of pregnancy (HDP) (Duley et al 2009, Steegers et al 2010). Among them, four to five percent present as pre-eclampsia (PE) (Steegers et al 2010, Abalos et al 2013, Arulkumaran et al 2013), defined as hypertension with proteinuria after 20 weeks gestation. In low- and middle income countries (LMIC), a woman is seven times more likely to develop PE than a woman in a high income country; between 10 and 25 percent of these cases will result in maternal death (WHO 2007).

Although the rates of stillbirths have fallen since year 2000, approximately 2.6 million babies globally were stillborn in 2015, or around 7,400 every day. Nearly half were intrapartum stillbirths that are preventable with high quality care at birth and early identification of at-risk pregnancies (*Lancet* 2016). In Bangladesh, the risk of dying in the first month of life (neonatal mortality) is 30 per 1,000 live births, accounting for 67 percent of all under-five deaths (BDHS 2018).

The Bangladesh Maternal Mortality Survey (BMMS) 2017 revealed that the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) remained unchanged, at 196 per 100,000 live births since 2010. Eclampsia remains the second leading direct cause of maternal deaths, having increased from 20 percent to 24 percent, after postpartum hemorrhage (PPH) (31%): These two causes are responsible for more than half of maternal deaths (54%) (NIPORT 2017) in Bangladesh. Among the 5,000 to 6,000 maternal deaths each year in the country, 1,000 to 1,200 mothers die from eclampsia. Risk of maternal death is high among first-time mothers (215 per 100,000 live births) as well as those with parities of four or higher. The pregnancy-related mortality ratio (PRMR) among the youngest women (15 to 19 years) is 144 deaths per 100,000 live births, compared to 2,031 per 100,000 live births among women ages 45 to 49 (NIPORT 2017).

In resource-poor countries such as in Bangladesh, proven life-saving commodities for pregnant women have not been optimally examined, such as magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$) to manage SPE/E, use of anti-hypertensives to manage high blood pressure (BP) during pregnancy, aspirin prophylaxis for pregnant women at high risk of PE/E, as well as task shifting to lower health worker cadres, and community involvement. Hodgins et al. conclude that simple interventions during pregnancy, such as PE/E detection, have considerable potential impacts on important mortality outcomes; they found that reliable PE detection followed by timely delivery could prevent up to one fourth of newborn and stillbirth deaths, and over 90 percent of maternal PE/E deaths (2018). There has been no systematic review of research and programming on PE/E prevention, early detection, and treatment in Bangladesh. Until recently, PE/E policies, health structures, and community perceptions were largely unknown to program implementers or service providers.

With support from USAID, the Ending Eclampsia project has been expanding access to proven, under-utilized interventions and commodities for PE/E prevention, early detection, and management, particularly expansion of $MgSO_4$ access in several countries through primary health care (PHC) providers and strengthening global collaboration and partnerships. In 2015, a landscaping analysis including a systematic literature review (available at endingeclampsia.org) identified articles reporting PE/E burdens, common risk factors, incidence, adverse outcomes, and mortality rates; few studies, however, discuss implementation research (IR) for improving PE/E prevention, detection, and timely management, particularly within PHC facilities (Warren et al 2016). Following a two year intervention expanding $MgSO_4$ provision through PHC providers in 12 sub-districts of Bangladesh, and subsequent data collection, the following report describes this IR by the Population Council, from 2015 to 2018.

Methodology

This pre-post, cross-sectional study, with both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods at baseline (2015) and endline (2018), collected data at various levels of PHC in Bangladesh—at Union Health and Family Planning Centres (UH&FWCs), referral facilities or where comprehensive emergency obstetric and newborn care (CEmONC) is available including Upazila Health Complexes (UHCs), District Hospitals (DHs), Mother and Child Welfare Centers (MCWCs), and ANC units of two medical college and hospitals (Tangail and Rangpur). The total number of facilities included in the study is 136—at 116 UH&FWCs, 11 UHs, five MCWCs, two DHs, and two medical college and hospitals.

Respondents were selected from 12 sub-districts in four districts selected for intervention scale up and IR studies. Almost all facilities were the same in pre- and post-data collection, except two that were added in post-intervention data collection. The primary sampling unit was a health care facility, with data collected at endline from posted, trained, re-trained, and mentored service providers. It is possible, at endline, that some service providers, program managers, and policymakers were not the same as those initially surveyed, at baseline, due to transfers or new postings. Newly posted providers were duly trained on the intervention. A separate sample of client-provider interactions (CPIs), ANC exit client interviews, and PE/E survivors were chosen for pre- and post-landscaping analysis. Service use was recorded from all 136 facilities for 24 months and three months before the intervention.

Policy and program data were collected at national, district, and lower levels, in interviews with policymakers, program managers of maternal and newborn health (MNH) programs, and representatives of related local and international NGOs and development partners, to assess policy, programming, and informed opinions of them. Facility assessments, interviews with service providers who work in ANC, maternity, or labor and delivery wards, client observations of ANC CPIs, and exit interviews with pregnant women all aided assessment of facility readiness and service provider knowledge and practice for PE/E detection and management, and their quality of care. All PE/E survivors were followed up, monitored, and interviewed to assess their care experiences at these facilities, along with the outcomes of their pregnancies. Monitoring service use and other related project indicators were collected monthly, over 24 months, to determine changes in service uptake and project management indicators.

One IR study (detailed in a separate report) assessed capacity of PHC providers (in a sub-set of facilities) to prescribe an oral anti-hypertensive drug to pregnant women and refer them for follow up care. Another study followed a cohort of women and their babies who experienced PE/E, to assess postnatal quality of care and health outcomes (detailed in a separate report).

TABLE 1 Data collection for landscaping analysis, by district, *n*

Data types	Comilla		Rangpur		Tangail		Bhola		Total	
	BL	EL	BL	EL	BL	EL	BL	EL	BL	EL
Facility inventory	41	41	32	34	31	30	30	31	134	136
Service provider interview	92	88	68	80	80	89	49	71	289	328
Client provider interaction	82	10	63	84	63	89	60	80	268	353
ANC client exit interview	24	26	17	21	18	20	16	20	768	888
IDIs—eclampsia survivors	-	58	-	49	-	57	-	43	22*	50
IDIs—policymakers, program managers	10	8	9	8	9	7	6	5	50**	36***
Monitoring data	Monthly service uptake data from 136 facilities, for 24 months									

BL=Baseline, EL=Endline; IDI= in-depth interview

* IDIs with eclampsia survivors in Dhaka medical college at baseline; **16 IDIs at central level; ***8 IDIs at central level

Objectives

This post-intervention assessment sought to compare any changes in policy, the health system, and community facilities following a two year intervention. Endline data collection had several objectives:

- Identifying the views and opinions of policymakers, program managers, and other stakeholders of PE/E detection, prevention, and management, and a loading MgSO₄ dose, during the course of the intervention period in Bangladesh;
- Identifying health system improvements, as well as impediments within the health system, in selected facilities for PE/E detection, prevention, and management;
- Assessing service providers' knowledge and practices, changes in their quality of care, and knowledge of ANC clients attending intervention facilities;
- Assessing the views and experiences of PE/E survivors administered MgSO₄ injections at their community (i.e. PHC) facilities; and
- Investigating uptake of services and changes in project indicators.

Intervention

In expanding services to prevent, detect, and manage PE/E, the core intervention in Bangladesh included training for PHC providers—Family Welfare Visitors (FWVs) and Sub-Assistant Community Medical Officers (SACMOs)—along with nurses or midwives in ANC units, in addition to ongoing mentorship for identifying PE/E signs and symptoms, administering a modified loading dose of MgSO₄ where needed, and referring pregnant women to referral facilities for further PE/E management. This intervention followed the PHC/PE model:

The PHC PE/E Model was implemented at policy, health system (referral and PHC), and community levels. An enabling policy environment was facilitated by the Project Advisory Committee (PAC) formed in cooperation with the Director of MCH Services and Line Director (MCRAH) of the Directorate General of FP (DGFP), which advised and guided implementation, and collaborated on a PE/E service delivery protocol for PHC providers (including the MgSO₄ loading dose), complemented by a job aid or algorithm for diagnosis and management of hypertension and PE/E and a pamphlet for clients. The project focused on institutionalizing PE/E within PHC providers' basic training curricula, as well as its incorporation in DGFP's operation plan. All service providers at intervention facilities were trained and re-trained on hypertension, PE/E, dipstick tests, BP measurement, referrals, and MgSO₄ administration. In addition, equipment (e.g. BP machines, stethoscopes, dipsticks for urine albumin test, patella hammers, etc.) and commodities (for MgSO₄ injection) were made available where needed. Field workers were trained on PE/E and pamphlet distribution. Community activities were limited to PHC provider and field worker distribution of client pamphlets, within facilities and communities, respectively.

Training covered PE/E's theoretical and practical elements, including BP measurement, urine analysis (with dipstick), MgSO₄ injection, risk factors of pregnant women, client follow up, recording, and referral. Service providers were first trained for two days, with a one day refresher after three months. Referral facility providers were trained on PE/E, mentorship, and patient management after a loading dose of MgSO₄.

The intervention was implemented for 24 months. A total of 51 doctors were trained for their subsequent training of 399 PHC providers (FWVs, SACMOs and nurses) and 591 field workers from 12 sub-districts of four districts. Although SACMOs do not see ANC patients, they were trained to support FWVs. In addition, 94 program managers from the four districts were trained prior to the intervention, and 52 during refresher training. A refresher training with 217 PHC providers three months after the initial training allowed them to share their experiences and resolve any emerging issues.

A district monitoring officer visited each facility every month. Central Directorate staff provided mentoring, in addition to the local program manager, every quarter. The intervention included educational efforts only at facilities, with service providers and field workers distributing a PE/E brochure to clients. No intervention was implemented within the communities.

Ethical Review and Informed Consent Process

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by Population Council's Institutional Review Board (IRB) in New York. The protocol, informed consent forms, and tools were also reviewed by Bangladesh Medical Research Council (BMRC)'s Ethics Committee. Participation in the study was voluntary, and informed consent was received from all participants before interviews.

Data Collection and Analysis

Prior to study implementation, approval and administrative orders were received from both DGFP and the Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS). A 33-member data collection team, including six supervisors, were recruited and trained for 10 days, on the study's objectives, its tools, procedures, and ethical conduct of data collection. All researchers were trained on data collection in the field for one, outside the study area. Four groups, each with five data collectors and one supervisor, were assembled for quantitative data collection, with two groups, each with four interviewers and one supervisor, were assembled for qualitative data collection.

All service providers who participated in the training were selected for an interview. Some service providers, program managers, or policymakers interviewed initially may not have been interviewed at endline due to transfers or new postings. A separate sample of CPIs, ANC exit client interviews, and PE/E survivors were chosen for pre- and post-landscaping analysis. Facility assessments were at the same facilities pre- and post-intervention. Monitoring of service uptake and other related project indicators was monthly, for 24 months, to accurately determine any changes.

Completed questionnaires were checked by supervisors in the field and sent to the Council's office for editing, entry, and analysis. Quantitative data were edited, coded, entered, and analyzed using STATA/SAS software. Data entry utilized CPro, with double entries to minimize errors. Descriptive, bivariate, and comparative analyses (chi-Square test, Fisher's Exact test, Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Statistic) were performed, with results are presented in this report as frequencies and percentages. In few cases, aggregated scores were generated in STATA to measure multi-element constructs such as "Assessing Risk of PE/E". Twenty-four months of service statistics described service uptake and other project-related indicators (particularly PMP indicators). Qualitative interviews were recorded through written notes and audio recordings, then transcribed and translated into English. As with baseline data, thematic coding of endline data and allowed for comparisons over time. Content and thematic analysis used NVivo software, applying a grounded theory approach at baseline and endline, and findings were triangulated by thematic areas.

This report presents its findings within three broad, inter-related domains: **Policy, Health System, and Community** (Figure 1). The policy domain includes policy on PE/E detection, prevention, and management, MgSO₄ administration by PHC providers, and stakeholder views and support for task sharing. The health system comprises service provider knowledge and practices of PE/E management, HDP, skills in BP measurement and urine analysis for albumin, logistics and commodity availability for PE/E management, service uptake, program impact, and service quality. Community perspectives include ANC clients' perceptions and understanding of PE/E and pregnancy danger signs, experiences at facilities, and PE/E survivors' experiences in receiving care.

Selection of Implementation Sites

Before the study commenced in 2015, a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) was signed with the Directorate General of Family Planning (DGFP), Obstetrical and Gynecological Society of Bangladesh (OGSB) and Population Council to work together and a Project Advisory Committee (PAC) was formed to review and advise on the project progress. Ending Eclampsia implementation districts have been selected by the DGFP considering Country's geographical and divisional (administrative) distribution. Bangladesh is a homogenous country in terms of language, education, and health facility. The functional administrative structure is identical from divisional level to union level including health and family planning (FP) services. One district, Bhola, is situated in the south, while another, Rangpur, is in the north. The remaining two districts, Tangail and Comilla, are in the central part of the country. All of the districts are in different administrative areas. Three sub-districts from each district were selected for the intervention, and the baseline and endline surveys.

FIGURE 2 Study districts in Bangladesh

Results

Service Providers' Background Characteristics

The service providers who participated in the study were primarily women, with a greater proportion at baseline ($p<0.001$). Approximately two thirds were 40 years of age or older. At baseline most providers were FWVs and SACMOS, while at endline the majority were FWVs and nurses. More referral facility providers, compared to PHC providers, were represented at endline. ($p<0.001$). At baseline, half of service providers had received training on FP or maternal, newborn and child health (MNCH) within the past three years, compared to all service providers at endline having such training ($p<0.001$).

TABLE 2 Service providers' background characteristics

Background Characteristics		Baseline (n=289)		Endline (n=328)		p- value ^a
		n	%	n	%	
Sex	Male	107	37.0	63	19.0	<0.001
	Female	182	63.0	265	81.0	
Age (years)	<30	44	15.0	42	13.0	0.20
	30-39	42	15.0	68	21.0	
	40-49	104	36.0	105	32.0	
	>49	99	34.0	113	34.0	
Type of facility	PHC	187	65.0	143	44.0	<0.001
	Referral	102	35.0	185	56.0	
Duration of work in ward or unit (year)	<1	9	3.0	16	5.0	<0.001
	1-5	73	25.0	113	34.0	
	6-10	16	6.0	75	23.0	
	>10	191	66.0	124	38.0	
Type of provider	MO Clinic/MOMCH/ MO/Ob/Gyn specialist	72	25.0	22	7.0	<0.001
	Nurse	14	5.0	111	34.0	
	SACMO	75	26.0	79	24.0	
	FWV	128	44.0	116	35.0	
Training in FP, MNCH in last three years	Yes	144	49.8	328	100.0	<0.001 ^b
	No	145	50.2	0	0.0	
Type of training received**						
EmOC		33	11.0	85	26.0	<0.001
ANC		38	13.0	80	24.0	<0.001
Safe delivery care		35	12.0	48	15.0	0.36
PNC		36	13.0	71	22.0	0.003
Skilled Birth Attendant training		12	4.0	21	6.0	0.22
Family planning		60	21.0	90	27.0	0.05
Laboratory investigations		1	0.4	8	2.0	0.04 ^b
Emergency newborn/child health		-	-	146	45.0	-
PE/E		-	-	328	100	-

**multiple responses; ^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test; Significant results are in bold, - not collected at baseline.

Antenatal Care Clients' Background Characteristics

A separate sample of clients exiting facilities immediately after ANC were surveyed at baseline (n=768) and endline (n=888). All women interviewed were married. In both baseline and endline surveys, about one quarter of women were younger than 20 years old, while slightly more than half were 20 to 29 years of age, and the rest were 30 years old or older. More than half of the women had a secondary education, at both baseline and endline, and a majority were Muslim. Most pregnant women interviewed were of more than 20 weeks gestation. Most women were attending at least a second ANC visit. Significant differences were observed for all background characteristics between baseline and endline among women who were interviewed.

TABLE 3 Background characteristics of ANC exit clients, at baseline and endline

Background Characteristics	Baseline (n=768)		Endline (n=888)		p-value ^a
	n	%	n	%	
Age (years)					
<20	157	20.0	221	25.0	0.01
20-24	274	36.0	277	31.0	
25-29	227	30.0	231	26.0	
>29	110	14.0	159	18.0	
Education					
Never attended school	47	6.0	33	4.0	0.004
Primary	237	31.0	231	26.0	
Secondary	395	51.0	488	55.0	
Higher secondary and above	89	12.0	136	15.0	
Religion					
Islam	720	94.0	851	96.0	0.06
Hinduism	48	6.0	37	4.0	
Gestational age at interview (week)					
<13	52	7.0	83	9.0	0.02
13-20	240	31.0	229	26.0	
21-28	281	37.0	319	36.0	
>28	195	25.0	257	29.0	
Location of ANC facility					
Referral	118	15.0	187	21.0	0.003
Primary	650	85.0	701	79.0	
Number of current ANC visit					
1	282	37.0	257	29.0	<0.001
2	236	31.0	259	29.0	
3	147	19.0	172	19.0	
>=4	103	13.0	200	23.0	
Socio-economic status					
Lower tercile	318	41.0	276	31.0	<0.001
Middle tercile	281	37.0	254	29.0	
Upper tercile	169	22.0	358	40.0	

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test; ^c Z test, Significant results in bold

Policy and Programming for Pre-Eclampsia and Eclampsia

Researchers conducted in-depth interviews (IDIs) with policy stakeholders—including policymakers, program managers from the four districts, and development partners, professionals and implementers, as well as other stakeholders—at baseline (n=50) and endline (n=37), to identify the policy environment and explore stakeholders’ perspectives of relevant issues during the implementation period.

Pre-Eclampsia Policy

The government’s PE/E policy falls under the broader public health domain of maternal health. The government’s standard operating procedure (SOP) specifies PE/E prevention and

PE/E policies are within the larger maternal health domain

management at secondary and tertiary health system levels. The government has yet to formalize any policy for PE/E prevention and management at primary (PHC) and community levels of care.

A service delivery protocol, the *Service Delivery Protocol for PE/E for PHC Providers*, was developed, tested, and approved by the DGFP for implementation in Ending Eclampsia and MaMoni project districts.

Varied perceptions and confusion were observed among informants when discussing the existence of a national PE/E policy. While most central policy and program managers, as well as other stakeholders (i.e. NGOs, development partners), are aware of the *Service Delivery Protocol for PE/E for PHC Providers*, many were unsure if it could be labeled a “policy” document. District

A national protocol and service delivery guideline for PE/E detection and management is available

and sub-district program managers said the government has a policy for reducing maternal and neonatal mortality, along with various initiatives such as promoting institutional delivery, 24/7 normal delivery at UHFWCs, demand-side financing of maternal and neonatal health in selected sub-districts, the Maternal Health Voucher Scheme (DSF-MHVS), and misoprostol distribution through field workers, among others.

The Ending Eclampsia project endeavored, with PAC guidance, to expand PE/E services to PHC facilities, and developed and distributed the *Service Delivery Guidelines on PE/E for PHC Providers* to all service providers and program managers in the project’s four districts and 12 sub-districts.

“I don’t know about the government policy. There might be one. I can’t tell you about the policy, as I didn’t get it yet. I didn’t get the policy in my hand so that I don’t know about where the policy is being implemented. There is no implementation policy in my district or upazilas.”

National program manager and policymaker, MoHFW, Baseline, 2015

“Definitely there is government policy regarding pre-eclampsia and eclampsia, particularly for the secondary and tertiary level facilities. That is national SOP [for maternal health issue], which was already developed in 2014 and endorsed. However, government does not have any policy for the community level. I have heard that a protocol has been developed and tested in some areas.”

WHO representative, Baseline, 2015

“As far as I know, the policy is being implemented all over Bangladesh, otherwise reaching the targets of MDGs 4 and 5 were not possible. Of course, we are involved in it, we are providing health care services to all types of patients from national, district, and sub-district health facilities.”

National program manager and policymaker, MoHFW, Baseline, 2015

At endline in 2018, awareness had changed.

“Yes, of course Government has a policy on this matter, and you all are also working as part of this policy that loading dose of magnesium sulphate is to be administered to patients with severe pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. The policy is to prevent death of both mother and child due to pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. It said, providers who are working at primary health care facility especially at grassroot level including FWV in FWC, senior staff nurse or nurse in the antenatal unit of UHC and DH are assigned to administer loading dose of magnesium sulphate.”

District program manager and policymaker, DGHS, Endline, 2018

“We don’t have any policy regarding PE/E management. We have a guideline regarding this. We’ve supplied this guideline to upazila health complexes and they’re working according to that. Doctors and Nurses have been trained and now midwives have joined with them. Midwives and nurses are trained to administer a loading dose of magnesium sulphate and refer. Some works are going on accordingly in some places and we’d try to do this in all places.”

National deputy program manager, DGHS, Endline, 2018

“I am not aware of any government official policy though I know about the current program being conducted by the Population Council.”

Sub-district program manager, Endline, 2018

“If we speak officially, there’s no such policy. Population Council has worked in some areas, MaMoni has worked in some areas. So, in light of that we’ve made a service delivery guideline for fieldworkers with the involvement of DGFP, OGSB and other stakeholders. So, we’re calling this a policy. But there’s no exact policy. But this policy can be further implemented with the involvement of all stakeholders. We are implementing this in our project areas.”

NGO stakeholder and implementer, Endline, 2018

Early Diagnosis and Impacts on Maternal Health

At baseline, most national policymakers, district program managers, and other stakeholders (i.e. NGOs, professional bodies and development partners) stated that early marriage, insufficient ANC service use, poverty, and ignorance contribute to the high levels of PE/E in Bangladesh. At both baseline and endline, many district managers said PE identification is delayed because women do not seek ANC, resulting in negative pregnancy outcomes including maternal and neonatal deaths. Similar statements were made by many sub-district managers at baseline, who opined that lack of ANC and general lack of awareness are the main reasons for maternal and neonatal morbidities and deaths due to PE/E. More than three fourths of informants at baseline mentioned that PE/E contributed to premature delivery, miscarriage, stillbirth, liver damage, and death.

**Lack of ANC and ignorance
are the main reasons for bad
PE/E outcomes**

“Due to family barrier [in-laws do not allow], pregnant women do not take antenatal care services properly and do not visit us. They usually come at the last moment, when the disease becomes severe. They [pregnant women and their families] do not have any knowledge about pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. They think it as a simple matter.”

Sub-district program manager, Baseline, 2015

Similar findings were manifested at endline.

“The detection of pre-eclampsia is delayed because of the lack of early and regular antenatal care check ups. If we can maintain regular antenatal care check ups, bring them to the facility, then identification would be early, and the management would be better and easier, and maternal deaths would have been greatly reduced, as it contributes 20 percent of maternal deaths.”

National program manager, DGFP, MoHFW, Endline, 2018

"We're not managing the antenatal care as it should be. We do not bring all pregnant women for antenatal care check ups. If we could do that, we could have controlled the blood pressure and prevent eclampsia to some extent. Even four antenatal care check ups are not being implemented properly. Both the service providers and the patients are responsible for this. The service providers don't serve full time. We're trying to manage that. Women also have lack of sensitivity and awareness. Even if they feel dizzy, they remain at home. They eat one Paracetamol and stay at home. However, we are trying to improve this situation to avert pregnancy-related complications."

National policymaker, DGFP, Endline, 2018

The need for a protocol and service delivery guidelines for PHC providers was emphasized at baseline, and a draft national protocol for PHC providers was reviewed and revised with an expert committee formed by DGFP. The resulting *Service Delivery Protocol and Guidelines for PHC Providers* was distributed widely to districts, sub-districts, and PHC facilities. All informants acknowledged receiving the service delivery guidelines, and understood the contents and their relevance.

"I have read the service delivery guideline. As far as I believe, this guideline has no gap. It would be helpful for the primary health care providers to understand how to identify pre-eclampsia and eclampsia patients, how to manage it, and how to refer. We should use and promote this guideline nationwide."

District program manager, DGFP, Endline, 2018

Impact of the Intervention and Task Sharing

While most informants at baseline supported task sharing with PHC providers (FWVs and SACMOs) to detect, prevent, and manage PE/E, some informants had reservations about Community Skilled Birth Attendants¹ (CSBAs) along with Community Health Care Providers (CHCPs), a clinic cadre who administer the MgSO₄ loading dose.

"We need to think whether permission should be given to primary health care providers for... injection. Primary health care providers can detect pre-eclampsia and eclampsia by measuring blood pressure and urine test. That is simple. After that, they can refer the patients to higher facility. But allowing that level of service providers to administer the injection—we definitely need to think about it, because we have seen abuse. We do not have any mechanism to stop the abuse...doing this, are we causing harm?"

Researcher, icddr,b, Baseline, 2015

For the last two years, progress in all 12 sub-districts' PHC systems, and secondary facilities, enabled PHC provider PE/E detection and management. Policymakers and program managers are pleased with the intervention. At endline, most informants were satisfied with PHC providers' skills in handling ANC clients with HDP.

In addition, most informants at endline said this intervention would have great impact in reducing maternal deaths if it can be scaled up in other parts of the country.

**This intervention would have
great impact if scaled up
in other parts of the country**

"I am managing the PE/E program, we have arranged for a separate room called 'ANC Corner'. There are two SACMOs and a nurse here to provide service...to check the pregnant mother's history, check up, measuring blood pressure, urine albumin test, and manage PE/E patients...monitored by me. Population Council's representatives always is there to help us. I am happy to see that our providers are now taking feedbacks from clients and follow up with PE/E survivors."

Sub-district program manager, DGHS, Endline, 2018

¹ This intervention does not include CSBAs and therefore these concerns are not related to this study or intervention.

"I was there at the training program as well. I have ensured the availability of MgSO₄, anti-hypertensives, blood pressure machine, logistics at the emergency departments, ANC, PNC corners and at the labor room. I've checked eclampsia patients personally. I've also been at the field level and I would like to say that magnesium sulphate is a safe drug, which can be administered from any level of health care facility"

"Training that was arranged by the Population Council—for FWV, FWA, SACMO, Nurse, Medical Officer, Consultant—had a wonderful presentation regarding to whom, when and how loading dose of magnesium sulphate would be administered. In my facility, service providers are now identifying PE/E patients, administering loading dose and then, referring them to higher level facilities."

Sub-district program manager, DGHS, 2018

"MgSO₄ is a safe drug and can be administered from any level of health care facility"

Scaling up of Interventions

While informants at baseline had diverse views about whether PHC providers could or should administer the modified MgSO₄ loading dose, most informants at endline agreed it was necessary to scale up this intervention in other parts of the country because of the effects of maternal and neonatal deaths due to PE/E. At endline policymakers and program managers said it is essential to implement multiple programs that can address maternal and neonatal deaths, in order to achieve SDGs.

"We say that we made progress in Millennium Development Goals, but still 50 percent of deliveries are...at home, and if there is any problem, they first come to the grass root level health workers such as the Family Welfare Visitor, CSBA, and SACMO. Women usually go to UH&FWC or Upazila Health Complexes or District Hospitals. If we can make the service providers more aware and train them at the community level, then they can identify pre-eclampsia and eclampsia, administer the loading dose of magnesium sulphate, and refer. Then it will definitely have a good effect and will reduce maternal mortality."

Representative of development partner, Baseline, 2015

Progress has been made in scaling up PE/E interventions. DGFP incorporated PE/E within its next five year operational plan and included a budget for scale up. In addition, DGHS, in collaboration with OGSB, and supported by UNFPA, prepared an "Action Plan for PE/E and PPH," to which other stakeholders contributed, including Ending Eclampsia, and is anticipated to be implemented in 26 districts supported by UNFPA.

"The objective of Ending Eclampsia project in addressing PE/E is undoubtedly promising. We have health providers in the primary level facility, clear management protocol and availability of logistics and commodities. Now this intervention is implemented for few areas for couples of years and we have the learning, we must expand it in larger scale to get the best result in a short period of time otherwise we will not be able to achieve SDGs. As an implementer of the MCH program, I must appreciate the simple ways Population Council showed to address a challenging issue. Now we should not waste any more time and just scale up this intervention in other parts of the country."

National policymaker, MCH program, DGFP, Endline, 2018

"Definitely the program should be scaled up. Eclampsia is still the number two cause of maternal death. If we don't scale up, we can't prevent eclampsia. Definitely it needs to be scaled up in all districts."

Secretary General, OGSB, Endline, 2018

“So, I think the government should be proactive to expand the program from these four districts to all districts. We OGSB and other NGOs should also come forward. We have to depend on primary health care providers, we can’t use doctors, and we don’t have that many doctors available for primary health care facilities.”

Former President, OGSB

Both DGFP and DGHS need their providers to be trained to provide PE/E services

“We are ready to scale up the program. We want to expand this program in the entire country. As Population Council have trained them, they’ve acquired the skill and now they can refer. Even now eclampsia is one of two major causes of death in Bangladesh. So, we definitely want to include this program all of the health complexes in all the districts in Bangladesh. We also have an ‘action plan’ for pre-eclampsia, eclampsia and postpartum hemorrhage.”

Program manager, DGHS, Endline, 2018

“Yes, but I think in Bangladesh, we also have to ask whether the doctors can do it? Because, even the doctors can’t do it. I mean...it’s easy to give the dose. The first dose is not dangerous, further doses might be. But our problems in Bangladesh is bigger in the community. Our district hospitals are not yet managing eclampsia with doctors there, although...there’s no problem with it happening at the community...where we need to target is higher up. We got the medical college hospitals managing it for the most part. They receive the patients and they put them on a reasonable regime, but below that, it’s not very functional. So, our target should be at the district hospitals and not yet at the community.”

Representative of development partner, Endline, 2018

Magnesium Sulphate Supply and Procurement

The Population Council supplied intervention facilities with MgSO₄ with the support of the MacArthur Foundation. DGFP does not supply PHC facilities with MgSO₄, but DGHS is supplying it to UHCs and DHs, along with calcium gluconate

(the antidote to MgSO₄ toxicity), which remains under-utilized due to the lack of trained providers. Referrals of patients to higher level facilities is SOP. While Ending Eclampsia ensured supplies during the intervention, DGFP program managers are unsure how to proceed when project support concludes.

The government should take responsibility for ensuring MgSO₄ availability at every health care facility. According to policymakers, PHCs receive essential medicines and key equipment, including BP machines and urine dipsticks for PE/E detection, directly from the government. It is evident DGFP needs to procure MgSO₄ for their PHC facilities.

DGFP needs to procure MgSO₄ for PHC facilities

“Magnesium sulphate, calcium gluconate and anti-hypertensive drugs are not supplied to our Family Planning department. It may be supplied to the health department. We usually prescribe medicines for the pregnant women who come for treatment and have supplied for blood pressure machine, stethoscope, and urine dipsticks.”

Medical Officer, MCH, DGFP, Baseline, 2015

At endline it was apparent that MGSO₄ had still not been procured for PHCs, at least in some places.

“It’s still not within our purchasing policy: We are getting supply from Population Council.”

District program manager, DGFP, Endline, 2018

“We get the supply from Population Council—magnesium sulphate—can’t buy it from Family Planning department, and even not supplied through national supply chain. As per my knowledge, it is being purchased in the health department.”

District FP manager, DGFP, Endline, 2018

“Family planning department will purchase magnesium sulphate from now. We have already put this drug in our operational plan and budget is there. We will procure magnesium sulphate very soon.”

Policymaker and implementer, DGFP, Endline, 2018

“Currently, we actually do not have stock of magnesium sulphate, we’re buying it from CMH. We’re procuring magnesium sulphate to supply it to every health complex in each district. We have it in our budget and it’s in the process. If we get this, then we can supply this in a while.”

Program manager, DGHS, Endline, 2018

“Population Council has been working in four districts, and we’ve also been working with them at the primary health care level. So, we would like to have a definite plan and estimate from you, the needs for the commodities, so that we can expand it to the entire country. As a part of that plan we can arrange to procure specialized drugs like magnesium sulphate...”

Program Manager, DGFP, Endline, 2018

Health System

This section describes service providers’ knowledge and practices of PE/E management, in addition to logistics and commodities availability, service uptake, quality of care, and program impact. Both the baseline and endline surveys collected data on availability and functionality of logistics and commodities for PE/E services, to assess changes due to the intervention, in addition to knowledge assessments.

Facility Readiness

A facility inventory was conducted at 134 and 136 facilities at baseline and endline, respectively. ANC, PNC, and delivery registers were available at most facilities at baseline and endline, but there was a significant increase in PNC register availability at endline (95% to 100%, $p=0.007$). Maternity admission registers were only available at 33 percent of facilities at baseline, and at 44 percent at endline (n.s.). Overall findings show significant improvements in availability and functionality of facility structures, commodities, and supplies for MNH services at most facilities. Few facilities, however, had calcium gluconate available at baseline or endline (0.7% and 7.0%, respectively, n.s.).

TABLE 4 Facility readiness, by availability and functionality of MNH indicators

Facility Readiness	Baseline (n=134)		Endline (n=136)		p-value ^a	
	n	%	n	%		
Availability of MNH registers						
ANC and referral register	134	100.0	136	100.0	0.10 ^b	
Delivery register	126	94.0	119	88.0	0.06	
PNC register	127	95.0	136	100.0	0.007 ^b	
Maternity admission register	44	33.0	60	44.0	0.06	
Availability and functionality of facility structure for MNH services						
Clean water supply for 24 hours	87	65.0	119	88.0	<0.001	
24-hour power supply	62	46.0	101	74.0	<0.001	
Adequate lighting	78	58.0	99	73.0	0.012	
Functional autoclave/sterilization instruments	93	70.0	108	80.0	0.06	
Infection prevention buckets	123	91.0	134	99.0	0.011 ^b	
Availability and functionality of commodities and supplies for MNH services						
Functional stethoscopes	120	89.0	136	100.0	<0.001	
Functional sphygmomanometers	114	85.0	136	100.0	<0.001	
Misoprostol	87	65.0	64	47.0	0.040	

Chlorine solution for disinfecting equipment	110	82.0	112	83.0	0.84
Xylocaine/local anesthetics	34	25.0	75	55.0	<0.001
Syringes and needles	111	82.0	129	94.0	0.002
Normal saline (IV)	58	43.0	126	92.0	<0.001
IV infusion equipment	39	29.0	71	52.0	<0.001
Saline stand	72	53.0	98	72.0	0.001
Facility readiness to manage PE/SPE/E at baseline and endline					
Dipstick on the ward/clinic for testing protein in urine	14	11.0	136	100.0	<0.001 ^b
MgSO ₄ loading dose to manage SPE/E	4	3.0	136	100.0	<0.001 ^b
Calcium gluconate for treating MgSO ₄ toxicity	1	0.7	10	7.0	0.83 ^b
Protocol/guidelines for PE/SPE/PE diagnosis, management	10	8.0	136	100.0	<0.001 ^b

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test

Provider Knowledge

Correct provider knowledge is critical for detecting, preventing, and managing HDP. Service providers' knowledge of HDP and PE/SPE/E were assessed in both the baseline (n=289) and endline (n=328) surveys.

Service providers' knowledge was assessed within two domains: 1) their ability to define HDP, as well as PE, SPE, and eclampsia² (Table 5, following page), and 2) their ability or capacity to diagnose HDP through hypothetical situations (see situations A, B, and C³, Table 6, page 17). Both sets of questions were asked at baseline and endline. For comparison, service providers were separated into groups of "physicians" and "other service providers" (e.g. FWVs, SACMOs and nurses), and by facility type (PHC or secondary).

Findings indicate significant improvements in provider knowledge, in defining HDP and PE/SPE/E at endline ($p < 0.001$ for each, Table 5). Physicians only showed significant knowledge increases in defining SPE, from baseline to endline. FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses increased their knowledge of HDP, PE/SPE/E from baseline to endline ($p < 0.001$ for each). Knowledge of PE was lowest at endline among the four definitions assessed, even though it increased significantly from baseline (42% at baseline vs. 64% at endline, $p < 0.001$).

Improvements in knowledge occurred among providers at both types of facilities (PHC and referral), as well as among those who had worked at a unit under five years along with those who had worked at a unit more than five years (Table 5). Providers who reported training in MCH or FP showed significant improvements in knowledge from baseline to endline ($p < 0.001$) compared to those who reported no MCH or FP training (Table 5, following page).

² *Hypertension during pregnancy*: BP of pregnant women after 20 weeks of pregnancy is 140/90 mmHg or more; *Pre-eclampsia*: High BP (140/90 mmHg or higher) and presence of albumin in urine determined by dipstick test after 20 weeks of pregnancy; *Severe pre-eclampsia*: High BP (140/90 mmHg or higher) + albumin in urine + severe headache, and/or blurred vision, and/or pain in upper abdomen; or diastolic BP ≥ 110 mmHg or systolic ≥ 160 mmHg after two measurements+ albumin in urine; *Eclampsia*: hypertension during pregnancy (140/90 mmHg or greater), albumin in urine, and convulsions during pregnancy

³ *Situation A*: A pregnant woman was seen in ANC clinic at 12 weeks gestation with BP 160/100mmHg with no proteinuria, what would diagnosis be? *Situation B*: A pregnant woman was seen in ANC clinic at 30 weeks gestation with BP of 180/115mmHg and proteinuria of 2++, what would diagnosis be? *Situation C*: A pregnant woman developed hypertension and significant proteinuria at 30 weeks gestation; one week later, her partner brought her to the clinic with complaints of convulsion, what would diagnosis be?

Table 5 Correct provider knowledge on definition of HDPs by provider type, facility type, experience and training

Provider knowledge and background characteristics	Hypertension			Pre-Eclampsia			Severe Pre-Eclampsia			Eclampsia		
	Baseline (n=289)	Endline (n=328)	p-value ^a	Baseline (n=289)	Endline (n=328)	p-value ^a	Baseline (n=289)	Endline (n=328)	p-value ^a	Baseline (n=289)	Endline (n=328)	p-value ^a
Provider correct knowledge	231 (79.9)	324 (98.8)	<0.001	120 (41.5)	208 (63.4)	<0.001	99 (34.3)	231 (70.4)	<0.001	238 (82.4)	307 (93.6)	<0.001
By provider type, n (%)												
Physician	62 (86.1)	22 (100.0)	0.11 ^b	30 (41.7)	14 (63.6)	0.071	38 (52.8)	18 (81.8)	0.015	57 (79.2)	20 (90.9)	0.21
<i>n</i>	72	22		72	22		72	22		72	22	
FWV/SACMO/nurse	169 (77.9)	302 (98.7)	<0.001^b	90 (41.5)	194 (63.4)	<0.001	61 (28.1)	213 (69.6)	<0.001	181 (83.4)	287 (94.0)	<0.001
<i>n</i>	217	306		217	306		217	306		217	306	
By facility Type, n (%)												
Referral facility	84.3 (86.0)	184 (99.5)	<0.001^b	44 (43.1)	120 (64.9)	<0.001	52 (50.9)	133 (71.9)	<0.001	82 (80.4)	174 (94.0)	<0.001
<i>n</i>	102	185		102	185		102	185		102	185	
Primary facility	145 (77.5)	140 (97.9)	<0.001^b	76 (40.6)	88 (61.5)	<0.001	47 (25.1)	98 (68.5)	<0.001	156 (83.4)	133 (93.0)	0.010
<i>n</i>	187	143		187	143		187	143		187	143	
By years working in present unit/ward, n (%)												
1-5 years	61 (74.4)	127 (98.5)	<0.001^b	34 (41.5)	83 (64.4)	0.001	33 (40.2)	101 (78.3)	<0.001	70 (85.4)	119 (92.3)	<0.001
<i>n</i>	82	129		82	129		82	129		82	129	
>5 years	86 (41.6)	125 (62.8)	<0.001	86 (41.6)	125 (62.8)	<0.001	66 (31.9)	130 (65.3)	<0.001	168 (81.2)	188 (94.5)	<0.001
<i>n</i>	207	199		207	199		207	199		207	199	
Any training on maternal health, FP and/or child health care, n (%)												
Yes	108 (37.3)	324 (98.8)	<0.001^b	59 (20.4)	208 (63.4)	<0.001^b	47 (16.3)	231 (70.4)	<0.001^b	117 (40.5)	307 (93.6)	<0.001^b
<i>n</i>	289	328		289	328		289	328		289	328	

a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; b Fisher's exact test;

From baseline to endline, there were significant increases SPE diagnostic abilities (56% vs. 99%, $p<0.001$), and to a lesser extent, for eclampsia (97% vs. 100%, $p=0.002$), through hypothetical situations (Table 6). There was no significant increase in overall correct diagnosis of chronic HTN in pregnancy among providers, in a hypothetical situation, but a greater proportion of physicians as well as FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses made correct diagnoses for chronic HTN in pregnancy and SPE at endline compared to baseline. A greater proportion of FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses made correct diagnoses for eclampsia at endline compared to baseline. All physicians made correct diagnoses for eclampsia at baseline and endline.

Significantly more providers employed at a facility for more than five years made correct diagnoses for all three situations at endline, compared to baseline. There was only a significant change from baseline to endline for SPE diagnosis by service providers who had worked at a facility for one to five years. Nearly all providers who had been worked at a facility for one to five years could correctly diagnose eclampsia at baseline, and all could at endline.

Table 6: Case studies of provider knowledge and diagnosis capacity of chronic hypertension, severe pre-eclampsia and eclampsia, by background characteristics

Provider Knowledge and Background Characteristics	Hypothetical Situations								
	Situation A: Pregnant woman presents at 12 weeks with BP 160/100 mmHg with no proteinuria (Chronic HTN in pregnancy)			Situation B: Pregnant woman presents at ANC at 30 weeks with BP 180/115mmHg and proteinuria of 2++ (Severe PE)			Situation C: Pregnant woman developed HTN and significant proteins at 30 weeks, brought back a week later with convulsion (Eclampsia)		
	Baseline (n=289)	Endline (n=328)	p-value ^a	Baseline (n=289)	Endline (n=328)	p-value ^a	Baseline (n=289)	Endline (n=328)	p-value ^a
Provider correct diagnosis	232 (80.0)	295 (89.0)	0.11	162 (56.0)	325 (99.0)	<0.001	281 (97.0)	328 (100.0)	0.002
By provider type, n (%)									
Physician	62 (86.1)	21 (95.5)	<0.001	52 (72.2)	22 (100.0)	0.005	72 (100.0)	22 (100.0)	0.10 ^b
n	72	22		72	22		72	22	
FWV/SACMO/nurse	170 (78.0)	274 (89.54)	<0.001	110 (50.7)	303 (99.0)	<0.001	209 (96.3)	306 (100.0)	0.010
n	217	306		217	306		217	306	
By facility Type, n (%)									
Referral	84 (82.4)	172 (92.9)	<0.001	74 (72.5)	182 (98.4)	<0.001	102 (100.0)	185 (100.0)	0.10 ^b
n	102	185		102	185		102	185	
Primary	148 (79.1)	123 (86.0)	0.11	88 (47.1)	143 (100.0)	<0.001	179 (95.7)	143 (100.0)	0.011
n	187	143		187	143		187	143	
By years working in present unit/ward, n (%)									
1-5 years	68 (82.9)	114 (88.4)	0.26	49 (59.8)	126 (97.7)	<0.001	80 (97.6)	129 (100.0)	0.150 ^b
n	82	129		82	129		82	129	
>5 years	164 (79.2)	181 (90.9)	0.001	113 (54.6)	199 (100.0)	<0.001 ^b	201 (97.1)	199 (100.0)	0.03 ^b
n	207	199		207	199		207	199	
Any training on maternal health, FP or child health care, n (%)									
Yes	114 (39.5)	295 (89.9)	<0.001 ^b	77 (26.6)	325 (99.1)	<0.001 ^b	141 (48.8)	328 (100.0)	<0.001 ^b
n	289	328		289	328		289	328	

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test;

From baseline to endline there was significant improvement in knowledge among FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses of specific BP for initiation of anti-hypertensive drugs for mild to moderate HDP (43% vs. 57%, $p=0.002$, Table 7). This improvement was not seen among physicians. Knowledge of precise BP for initiation of anti-hypertensive drugs for severe HDP decreased from eight percent to four percent ($p=0.08$).

TABLE 7 Service provider knowledge of blood pressure during pregnancy for initiation of anti-hypertensive drugs, at baseline and endline

Knowledge on when to initiate antihypertensive drug for severity of hypertension	Baseline (n=289)		Endline (n=328)		p-value ^a
	n	%	n	%	
Knew exact BP for initiation of anti-hypertensive drugs for mild to moderate HDP	161	56.0	196	60.0	0.37
Physician	67	93.0	21	95.0	0.69
n	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	94	43.0	175	57.0	0.002
n	217		306		
Knew exact BP for initiation of anti-hypertensive drugs for severe HDP	22	8.0	14	4.0	0.08
Physician	18	25.0	5	22.0	0.83
n	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	4	2.0	9	3.0	0.10 ^b
n	217		306		

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test

There was a significant improvement in service providers' correct knowledge of the total loading dose of MgSO₄ and its toxicity, from baseline and endline⁴—a more than fourteen-fold increase ($p<0.001$, Table 8). All service providers knew the correct total loading dose at endline. Provider knowledge of the MgSO₄ maintenance dose for SPE/E improved significantly from baseline to endline, but the proportion at endline was lower than expected (5% vs. 22%, $p<0.001$).

Further knowledge of MgSO₄ toxicity was also assessed among service providers. Knowledge of at least one sign or symptom of MgSO₄ toxicity, ways to monitor for it, and antidotes significantly increased from baseline to endline, among all providers.

⁴ The two most commonly used regimens for administering MgSO₄ to prevent and treat convulsions in the case of severe PE/E are the Zuspan regimen (a loading dose of 4 g IV, and maintenance dosing of 1 g/hr IV) and the Pritchard regimen (loading doses of 4 g IV and 10 g IM, and maintenance dosing of 5 g IM/4hr). The Pritchard regimen is considered the 'gold standard' using both an intravenous (IV) loading dose including intramuscular (IM) dose and IM maintenance doses.

A modified loading dose called "Dhaka regimen" (10mg IM loading dose and referral to higher facility for further management) has been shown effective in a hospital setting and in primary health care setting (Begum et al 2002 and Shamsuddin et al 2005) and was further tested at primary health care level in Bangladesh (OGSB 2014, Save the Children 2015). The "Dhaka regimen" has been approved by the National Technical Committee (NTC) of DGFP for introduction in the national health system (NTC 2015) and is the regimen used by Ending Eclampsia during this study.

TABLE 8 Service providers' correct knowledge on total loading and maintenance doses of magnesium sulphate, and its toxicity, at baseline and endline

Knowledge of loading dose of MgSO ₄	Baseline (n=289)		Endline (n=328)		p- value ^a
	n	%	n	%	
Knew total loading dose of injection of MgSO ₄ for SPE/E (Dhaka regime)	21	7.0	328	100.0	<0.001^b
Physician	18	25.0	22	100.0	<0.001^b
n	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	3	1.0	306	100.0	<0.001^b
n	217		306		
Knew maintenance dose of MgSO ₄ for SPE/E (Pritchard regime)	14	5.0	72	22.0	<0.001
Physician	14	20.0	16	73.0	<0.001
n	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	0	0	56	18.0	<0.001^b
n	217		306		
Knew at least one sign or symptom of MgSO ₄ toxicity	41	14.0	121	37.0	<0.001
Physician	37	51.0	21	95.0	<0.001
n	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	4	1.8	100	33.0	<0.001^b
n	217		306		
Knew all ways (depressed respiratory rate, loss of tendon reflex, decreased urine output) to monitor MgSO ₄ toxicity	14	5.0	40	12.0	0.001
Physician	13	18.0	10	46.0	0.021
n	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	1	0.01	30	10.0	<0.001^b
n	217		306		
Knew antidote (calcium gluconate) for MgSO ₄ toxicity	25	9.0	77	24.0	<0.001
Physician	24	33.0	17	77.0	<0.001
n	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	1	0.01	60	19.0	<0.001^b
n	217		306		

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test

Program Effectiveness

Program effectiveness was measured with various indicators including provider experience in administering loading dose of MgSO₄, improvement of in-service provision and referral mechanisms, PE/E detection and prevention practice, ANC client experiences, improved quality of care, and service uptake.

Administration of loading dose of MgSO₄ significantly increased from baseline to endline (12% vs. 48%, $p < 0.001$, Table 9). This significant increase occurred for physicians as well as for FWVs, SACMOs and nurses. Not all service providers may have had the opportunity to administer a loading dose of MgSO₄ due to lack of PE/E clients. At baseline, 91 percent of providers reported that clients or their families were reluctant or refused to take an injection during pregnancy, compared to 17 percent at endline, and only a small number of providers felt confident in administering an MgSO₄ injection. At endline, client perceptions had changed due to provider counseling, but a provider challenge was their fear of a community's reaction if a woman with PE/E had a negative outcome after receiving MgSO₄.

TABLE 9 Service provider experiences administering magnesium sulphate loading dose and operational challenges, at baseline and endline

Practices on loading dose of MgSO ₄	Baseline (n=289)		Endline (n=328)		p-value ^a
	n	%	n	%	
Had administered loading dose	35	12.0	157	48.0	<0.001
Physician	29	40.0	17	77.0	<0.001
<i>n</i>	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	6	2.8	140	46.0	<0.001
<i>n</i>	217		306		
Confident/skilled in administering loading dose	132	46.0	310	94.0	<0.001
Physician	58	80.0	22	100.0	0.035^b
<i>n</i>	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	74	34.0	288	94.0	<0.001
<i>n</i>	217		306		
Operational challenges for loading dose	129	44.6	82	25.0	<0.001
Physician	50	69.4	9	40.9	0.015
<i>n</i>	72		22		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	79	36.4	73	23.8	0.002
<i>n</i>	217		306		
Type of operational challenge for loading dose**					
Reluctance/refusal of patient/family for injection	118	91.0	14	17.0	<0.001
Provider fear of community reaction to negative outcome after MgSO ₄ use	11	9.0	68	83.0	
<i>n</i>	129		82		
Providers who fear community reaction and felt confident/skilled administering loading dose	8	72.3	64	94.1	0.05
By Provider type	(11)		(68)		
Physician	2	66.7	7	100.0	0.30 ^b
<i>n</i>	3		7		
FWV/SACMO/Nurse	6	75.0	57	93.4	0.14
<i>n</i>	8		61		

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test; ** Multiple responses

At endline, service providers were asked to report on their service provision after their PE/E training. (These questions were not asked at baseline.) Ninety percent of service providers reported that they were able to identify more hypertensive patients after PE/E training, and 96 percent reported that they could identify ANC patient risk for PE/E or obstetric complications after the training (Table 10). Forty-eight percent of service providers reported subsequent PE/E patient management, with significantly more physicians than FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses (77% vs. 46%, $p=0.004$). Twenty-four percent of patients were managed at PHC facilities, compared to 76 percent at referral facilities. Physicians managed PE/E at referral facilities only, along with 73 percent of FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses, with 27 percent of FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses managing PE/E at PHC facilities ($p=0.013$).

TABLE 10 Provider self-reports of service provision following intervention, n (%)

	Total (n=328)	Physician (n=22)	FWV/SACMO/Nurse (n=306)	p-value ^a
Identify more hypertensive patients after received PE/E training?				
Yes	296 (90.0)	21 (96.0)	275 (90.0)	0.60 ^b
Identify ANC patient's risk for PE/E or obstetric complications?				
Yes	313 (96.0)	21 (95.0)	292 (94.0)	0.10 ^b
PE/E risk factors examined with ANC patients*				
Age <20 and > 35 years	303 (92.0)	21 (96.0)	282 (92.0)	0.57
Primigravida	151 (46.0)	13 (59.0)	138 (45.0)	0.20
Number of pregnancies ≥4 times	209 (63.0)	18 (82.0)	191 (61.0)	0.06
Birth spacing less than 2 years	110 (34.0)	13 (59.0)	97 (32.0)	0.010
Height 145 cm or less	261 (80.0)	19 (86.0)	242 (79.0)	0.41
Previous pregnancy history ^e	164 (50.0)	3 (12.0)	269 (88.0)	<0.001 ^b
Current pregnancy history ^f	165 (52.0)	2 (10.0)	275 (90.0)	<0.001 ^b
Manage PE/E patient				
Yes	157 (47.9)	17 (77.3)	140 (45.8)	0.004
Management of PE/E by facility type				
Primary facility	38 (24.0)	0 (0.0)	38 (27.0)	0.013 ^b
Referral facility	119 (76.0)	17 (100.0)	102 (73.0)	
n	157	17	140	

*Multiple responses; a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; b Fisher's exact test;

e: Hemorrhage at ANC, hemorrhage at PNC, retained placenta, Prolonged labor, HTN/PE/ E in previous pregnancy, Premature baby death, caesarean section history; f: High blood pressure, Diabetes, Heart diseases, Jaundice, Twin Pregnancy, Kidney problem

An important process of service provision improvement was to establish a functional referral mechanism for PE/E patients—from PHC to referral facilities—and use of a project-developed referral slip. Questions about the referral mechanism were only asked at endline. Eighty-one percent of providers thought the Ending Eclampsia referral mechanism was effective. Significantly more providers from referral facilities (85%) thought the referral mechanism was effective, compared to 76 percent from PHC facilities ($p=0.034$, Table 11).

Sixty-nine percent of providers used the referral slip to refer patients to a higher facility. Fifty-nine percent of providers referred PE/E patients to higher level facilities ($n=192$), and of those, 89 percent reported that those patients went to a referral facility for services ($n=170$), and of those, 94 percent reported that the health care facility solicited their responses or contacted them after their referrals, for the purposes of the study ($n=159$).

TABLE 11 Service providers' views of referral mechanisms for PE/SPE/E at endline, n (%)

Referral Mechanism	Total (n=328)	Primary (n=143)	Referral (n=185)	p- value ^a
Was Ending Eclampsia referral mechanism effective?				
Yes	267 (81.0)	109 (76.0)	158 (85.0)	0.034
Used referral slip to refer patients to higher facility?				
Yes	226 (69.0)	100 (70.0)	126 (68.0)	0.72
No	102 (31.0)	43 (30.0)	59 (32.0)	
Referred PE/E patients to higher facility?				
Yes	192 (59.0)	73 (51.0)	119 (64.0)	<0.001
No	60 (18.0)	16 (11.0)	44 (24.0)	
Not found any PE/E patients	76 (23.0)	54 (38.0)	22 (12.0)	
<i>n</i>	328	143	185	
Did patients go to higher facility to receive referral services				
Yes	170 (89.0)	68 (93.0)	102 (86.0)	0.06
No	22 (11.0)	5 (7.0)	17 (14.0)	
<i>n</i>	192	73	119	
Has facility collect feedback/contact with patients after referred				
Yes	159 (94.0)	68 (100.0)	91 (89.0)	0.003 ^b
No	11 (6.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (11.0)	
<i>n</i>	170	68	92	

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test

Provider Practices for Screening and Prevention

Client-provider interactions (CPIs) were observed at all facilities to assess provider practices for PE risk assessment, screening, and information provided to clients. While one CPI was planned for each provider, in a few cases multiple CPIs of one provider occurred, particularly at endline. Box 1 presents the definitions used for assessing PE risk, screening for PE, and advising on SPE, through composite scores for each. Findings from observation data show that service

Box 1: Definitions Used to Assess Pre-Eclampsia Risk, Detect Pre-Eclampsia, and Advise of Impending Eclampsia During CPI Observations

Assessing Pre-Eclampsia Risk: History of hypertension or high blood pressure, client weight, check for edema (face, hands, legs, ankles), pregnancy order, and client age

Detecting Pre-Eclampsia: Client blood pressure and urinalysis (protein/albumin)

Advising of Severe Pre-Eclampsia Symptoms: Severe headache, blurred vision, high blood pressure, and generalized body swelling (edema)

providers poorly measured all three components (assessing PE risk, screening for PE, and advising on SPE) at baseline, but this significantly improved at endline (Table 12). While assessments of PE risk and advice on signs and symptoms significantly improved, these practices were still quite low at endline.

TABLE 12 Provider practices observed during client-provider interactions (for each component)

	Baseline (n=268)		Endline (n=353)		p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Assessed risk of PE	29	10.8	96	27.2	<0.001
Screening for PE	4	1.5	218	61.8	<0.001
Advise of signs/symptoms of SPE	9	3.4	85	24.0	<0.001

Five variables (Box 1) measured providers’ practices in assessing PE risk, with a summary score for each observation representing the number of variables assessed—0 representing none, 1 representing one risk assessed, up to 5 representing all five risks assessed (The numerals 1 to 4 do not indicate any specific variables, but a score of 5 necessarily includes all five variables).

Observations indicate significant improvement, with service providers for assessing four or five risk factors at endline, compared to three or four at baseline. Further analyses show that the three most frequently assessed factors were birth order (100%), client weight (82%), and edema (72%) at baseline, and birth order (100%), client weight (88%), and client age (86%) at endline, while patient history questions on hypertension were less frequently checked (16% to 40%, baseline and endline, respectively).

Similar summary scores were generated for each observation of providers screening for PE, which comprises two variables: BP checks and test for albumin in urine. Summary scores generated for each observation show aggregated number of checks for PE screening—1 representing one check and 2 representing two checks. Endline data suggest higher proportions of observed BP checks (98%) than proteinuria tests (62%). Baseline data suggest a much lower proportion of proteinuria checks (2%) than BP checks (81%).

Note: Summary scores generated for each observation shows aggregated number of checks for PE screening (1 representing one check and 2 representing two checks)

Similar summary scores were generated for each observation to measure provider practice in advising women of PE signs and symptoms, with an aggregated number of signs and symptoms for which advice was provided (0 representing none, 1 representing one piece of advice, up to 4 representing advice for all four signs and symptoms; 1 to 3 do not indicate any specific variables; for example, 1 can be any variable and so on, but 4 will be all four variables). Findings indicate significant improvements for provider advice to women of different pregnancy signs and symptoms, particularly two to four signs or symptoms, and a decrease in “no advice” at endline. At baseline most providers either advised on one sign or symptom, or none, and this significantly improved at endline. Edema was the most frequently advised (84%) sign or symptom at endline, followed by severe headache (63%), high BP (53%), and blurred vision (50%), compared to edema (71%), severe headache (25%), high BP (12%), and blurred vision (12%) at baseline.

Clients' Experiences at Facilities and Quality of Care

To determine pregnant women's perceptions of their quality of care, as well as their understanding of those services, the project conducted client exit interviews after CPI observations were complete. There was significant improvement in all nine necessary ANC components except two: abdominal and fetus heartbeat examination. Significantly more clients reported receiving all nine ANC components at endline (1% at baseline vs. 33% at endline, $p < 0.001$).

TABLE 13 Services received during ANC visit, at baseline and endline

ANC service components	Baseline (n=768)		Endline (n=888)		p- value
	n	%	n	%	
Measured weight	605	79.0	765	86.0	<0.001
Checked BP	696	91.0	856	96.0	<0.001
Examined abdomen	616	80.0	733	83.0	0.22
Checked for anemia	562	73.0	727	82.0	<0.001
Listened to baby's heartbeat	403	53.0	479	54.0	0.55
Checked urine for protein and sugar	34	4.0	578	65.0	<0.001
Told about the progress of pregnancy	546	71.0	698	79.0	<0.001
Given chance to ask questions	591	77.0	754	85.0	<0.001
Told to return for another visit	657	86.0	863	97.0	<0.001
Told about hypertension during pregnancy	-	-	412	46.0	-
Told about PE/E	-	-	699	79.0	-
Proportion of clients received all 9 ANC components	8	1.0	292	33.0	<0.001

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test, "-" not collected at baseline

Although waiting times increased, clients reported more time with their providers. Although quite high at baseline, significantly more clients reported being satisfied or somewhat satisfied with their services on the day of the survey at endline (91% at baseline vs. 99% at endline, $p<0.001$). Ninety-eight percent of clients at endline would recommend a friend attend the same facility for ANC, compared to 89 percent at baseline ($p<0.001$).

TABLE 14 ANC client experiences at baseline and endline

Quality of care Issues	Categories	Baseline (n=768)		Endline (n=888)		p- value ^a
		n	%	n	%	
Waiting time before being seen by service provider (minutes)	<30	651	85.0	668	75.0	<0.001
	>30	117	15.0	220	25.0	
Perception about waiting time	Too long	102	13.0	126	14.0	0.85
	Reasonable	612	87.0	762	86.0	
Time spent with the service provider (minutes)	<15	664	87.0	524	59.0	<0.001
	>15	104	13.0	364	41.0	
Perception about time spent with the service provider	Too long	15	2.0	45	5.0	<0.001
	Reasonable	550	72.0	749	84.0	
	Too short	203	26.0	94	11.0	
Satisfaction of service received that day	Satisfied, somewhat satisfied	696	91.0	872	99.0	<0.001
	Not satisfied at all	72	9.0	16	1.0	
Would recommend a friend to come to this facility for ANC	Yes	686	89.0	866	98.0	<0.001

^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test

Generally, client knowledge of danger signs during pregnancy was low at baseline, and significantly improved at endline ($p<0.001$, Table 15). Although there were significant improvements, there still appear to be gaps in client knowledge, however.

TABLE 15 ANC client knowledge of danger signs during pregnancy

Indicator	Baseline (n=768)		Endline (n=888)		p- value ^a
	n	%	n	%	
Awareness of danger signs of pregnancy*					
Severe Headache	174	23.0	502	56.0	<0.001
High fever	119	16.0	576	65.0	<0.001
Upper abdominal pain	119	16.0	191	22.0	0.002
Blurred vision	36	5.0	168	19.0	<0.001
Excessive bleeding	267	35.0	454	51.0	<0.001
Convulsion	163	21.0	385	43.0	<0.001
Obstructive/prolonged labor	81	11.0	247	28.0	<0.001
Generalized edema	101	13.0	118	13.0	0.934
Absent of fetal movement	22	3.0	200	23.0	<0.001
Number of danger signs during pregnancy client knew					
None	134	17.0	13	2.0	<0.001 ^b
1	277	36.0	67	7.0	
2-4	357	47.0	646	73.0	
>4	0	0.0	162	18.0	

* Multiple response; ^a Pearson chi-square test, unless otherwise indicated; ^b Fisher's exact test

Service Utilization

Service statistics and project indicators were collected from 136 facilities at baseline (for 3 months), and then monthly for 24 months. At baseline, an average of 16 PE, seven severe PE (SPE), and 47 eclampsia patients were seen per month, and those figures significantly increased, to monthly averages of 28 PE, 19 SPE, and 65 eclampsia patients during the intervention period ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, respectively, Table 16; appendices A and B show monthly distribution of all 24 months of data and three months of baseline).

Table 16 Uptake of services at baseline and for 24 months of intervention period, with changes in relevant indicators over time

PMP Indicators		Baseline (136 facilities) October-December '16 (3 months)		Intervention Period (136 facilities) January '17 - December '18 (24 months)		% Change	Wilcoxon rank— sum statistic (p-value)
		Total	Monthly	Total	Monthly		
Women with PE seen at facility	<i>n</i>	48	16.0	664	27.7	43%	<0.001
Women with SPE seen at facility	<i>n</i>	20	6.7	445	18.5	63%	<0.001
Women with eclampsia seen at facility		142	47.3	1554	64.8	28%	<0.001
Women with SPE/E treated with MgSO ₄	<i>n</i>	33	11.0	1,910	79.6	86%	<0.001
	%	20%		96%			-
ANC visits per month (repeat and new visits)	<i>n</i>	33,754	112,151.3	334,305	13,929.4	19%	<0.001
Women whose BP was measured during ANC	<i>n</i>	27,404	9,134.7	320,379	13,349.1	32%	<0.001
	%	81%		96%			-
Women whose urine was checked for albumin at ANC visits	<i>n</i>	1,943	674.7	156,527	6,521.9	90%	<0.001
	%	6%		47%			-
Pregnant women detected as high risk during ANC	<i>n</i>	2,796	932	77,503	3,229.3	71%	<0.001
	%	8%		23%			-
Pregnant women detected with high BP during ANC	<i>n</i>	167	55.7	3,958	164.9	66%	<0.001
	%	0.5%		1.2%			-
Mid-level providers trained in PE/E management, intervention area (refresher)*	<i>n</i>	399		217*			
Mid-level providers who can correctly identify and detect PE	%	-		93%			
Mid-level providers who can correctly identify and detect eclampsia	%	-		94%			
Mid-level providers trained to competence in appropriate anti- hypertensive use (49 pre-intervention, 44 refresher)	%	-		100%			
Trained service providers prescribing anti-hypertensives to control BP for PE/E patients, intervention area (refresher)*	<i>n</i>	49 + 44*		5 per month			
Pregnant women who attended ANC aware of 2+ PE/E signs/symptoms	%	-		34%			

*In refresher training

Rates of PE/SPE/E diagnoses increased during the intervention (Figure 4), particularly for eclampsia.

During the baseline period, an average of 11 SPE/E patients each month were given $MgSO_4$ to prevent and control seizures (20% of the total seen with SPE/E at the 136 facilities). At endline, there was an eight-fold increase in women given $MgSO_4$ —80 SPE/E per month over 24 months, 96 percent of the total SPE/E women seen at the 136 facilities in that time period, Table 16, Figure 5). This increase in treatment of women with SPE/E from baseline to endline was a significant improvement ($p < 0.001$).

To detect, prevent, and manage PE/E, correct measurement of BP and urine testing for albumin is key. The service providers in all intervention facilities were trained for competency in BP measurement, urine testing for albumin, and loading dose administration of MgSO₄. While 81 percent of ANC patients had their BP measured at baseline, and six percent of ANC patients' urine was checked for albumin, at endline both BP measurement and albumin testing had significantly increased, to 96 percent and 47 percent, respectively, at endline ($p < 0.001$, Table 16).

Screening pregnant women during ANC for high risk factors is extremely important for their successful detection. At baseline screenings by service providers for risk factors in women resulted in only eight percent of pregnant women assessed as high risk, which significantly increased to 23 percent at endline ($p < 0.001$). Detection of high BP among pregnant women also significantly increased, from 56 per month at baseline to 165 per month—a threefold increase at endline ($p < 0.001$). The proportion of high BP detected during ANC among all pregnant women at baseline was 0.5 percent, compared to 1.2 percent at endline ($p < 0.001$, Table 16).

Survivors' Experiences

A different sample of PE/E survivors was interviewed in-depth at baseline and endline. At baseline, 22 PE/E survivors at a tertiary medical college hospital were interviewed, and at endline 50 survivors from the community who were treated at intervention facilities were interviewed. These PE/E survivors were interviewed to assess their knowledge, attitudes, views, and experiences of PE/E, ANC experiences of PE/E education and screening, the accessibility of essential services and commodities, and their understanding of both the means and courses to appropriate health care.

Antenatal Care

At baseline, slightly more than two thirds of PE/E survivors had an ANC check up before being admitted to a tertiary hospital, but the quality of their ANC was poor, and they were dissatisfied with the services. Many respondents believed that a fetal ultrasonogram was the major element of ANC.

Although BP was measured in most cases at baseline, a urine albumin test was not done three fourths of the time. Among PE/E survivors interviewed at baseline, about one fifth had elevated BP at an ANC check up and ended up in a tertiary hospital with SPE/E. Almost all of those who attended ANC at baseline reported that their service providers gave no information on possible pregnancy issues or danger signs, nor no explanation of the significance of high BP during pregnancy.

"I got pregnant within three months after my marriage, and did the first check up and ultrasonogram at eighth month from a private clinic. A female doctor checked me and found low blood pressure and suggested for nutritious food, weekly check up, medicine, and assure[d] for normal delivery. I had swollen legs from seventh month and headache, but doctor didn't say anything about it. I thought it is normal in pregnancy. Few weeks later, I had delivery pain with convulsions at midnight. My family took me to a private clinic, they gave me an injection and referred to another hospital and subsequently, they also referred me to Dhaka Medical College hospital."
PE/E survivor, Baseline, 2015

At endline, most PE/E survivors had visited a government health care facility for normal ANC and had received ANC services more than three times, an improvement from baseline. At endline many PE/E survivors had not had an ANC check up until a problem arose, however. Many PE/E survivors who experienced problems including headache, blurred vision, abdominal pain, edema, fever, and leaking membrane visited nearby facilities or unregistered practitioners, and most of these PE/E survivors' high BP

was diagnosed in the seventh month of pregnancy, and in few cases, just before delivery. This may be due to a delayed ANC check up at a facility or miscalculation of patient gestation.

"I have taken antenatal care services four times. I was taking my first...when I was third month of pregnancy. I was there for a normal check up. In my every check up blood pressure was measured and urine tested. During my first visit, my pressure was normal. My pressure was diagnosed at my seventh month of pregnancy."

PE/E survivor, UH&FWC, Endline, 2018

"I had never visited any health facility during my pregnancy. I was in Pirganj, at my father's home, four kilometers away from Upazila Health Complex hospital, with the hope to deliver there. One day, I had severe abdominal pain when I was nine months pregnant. During my pregnancy, I used to take sacred water from a traditional healer near my parents' home. I think my abdominal pain was due to the sacred water. I was then taken to the hospital and diagnosed with high blood pressure. The Family Welfare Visitor g[ave] me two injections at my buttocks, and then referred me to Rangpur Medical College Hospital. When the pain went, and I feel better, I went to my parents' home again. I had a normal delivery at my parents' home."

PE/E survivor, Pirganj UHC, Endline, 2018

At baseline most PE/E survivors were unaware of their high BP and did not know about the signs or symptoms of SPE/E. At endline, most PE/E survivors had also not been fully counseled on danger signs in pregnancy. Those who received ANC (about one third of PE/E survivors), said that they knew pregnant women can suffer from hypertension.

"I see a leaflet given by the field workers, but I did not understand what is written there. No one make me understand what is written there."

PE/E survivor, UHC, Endline, 2018

Pregnant Women Seek Care Late

Community behaviors, particularly for seeking pregnancy care, did not change over time. At baseline, even with problems with high BP, women remained at home, in the hope or belief such a problem was not very serious, or they visited village doctors, Imams, or traditional or spiritual healers. About half of PE/E survivors at endline also did not seek ANC until there was a problem.

"I have no check up myself, while I was pregnant. I...visited the Pachgachi Union Health and Family Welfare Centre at eighth month of my pregnancy, with abdominal pain. The Family Welfare Visitor diagnosed me with high blood pressure. She counseled me about the consequences of high blood pressure and also told me that convulsion can happen. She referred me to Upazila Health Complex for further treatment, but I never went there until I had a convulsion."

PE/E survivor, FWC, Endline, 2018

Magnesium Sulphate Administration

Information on the timing of MgSO₄ administration, survivors' feelings after the injection, and any PNC check ups were collected from PE/E survivors at endline. At baseline, no patient had received MgSO₄ at a PHC facility, while at endline most PE/E survivors stated they had visited a nearby (i.e. PHC or secondary) facility with problems such as headaches, blurred vision, high BP, abdominal pain, or convulsion, and their service providers had administered a MgSO₄ injection. Some survivors even reported visiting the facility for a normal check up when their BP was found to be high, and then providers administered the two injections and referred them to another facility. Most PE/E survivors had no problems or side effects after their loading dose of MgSO₄, although a few experienced pain in the injection area for few days. Most patients presented at the referral facility after the loading dose injection from primary or secondary facilities, but a few patients did not follow instructions and remained at home. Most women reported going for PNC at least twice.

"I went to the Family Welfare Centre to know my blood pressure while I was eight months pregnant. I heard that pressure may be high or low during pregnancy. The apa [FWV] at the facility told me that my blood pressure is too high. She advised me that I need to take two injections now and then, consult with another facility or a physician. Then, she administered two injections at my buttocks and referred to Burirchong Upazila Health Complex."

PE/E survivor, UH&FWC, Endline, 2018

"When I was ninth month[s] pregnant, I went to nearby Family Welfare Centre due to blurring of vision, convulsion, and labor pain. She said I have high blood pressure. She suggested me to go Upazila Health Complex immediately after having some injection. She administered two injections and gave me one tablet. After that I went to Debidwar Upazila Health Complex, where I had a normal delivery. I went for postnatal care services regularly, as my pressure was high, and took medicines, and now, my pressure is normal."

PE/E survivor, UH&FWC, Endline, 2018

A few PE/E survivors did not present to the referral facility, for various reasons including transportation problems, financial issues, or lack of family member for accompaniment.

"At the last stage of my pregnancy I had convulsions and I was brought to nearby Family Welfare Centre. I had delivery pain also. That time, apa administered me two injections [MgSO₄] in my two buttocks and suggested my family to take me to the district hospital. My family refused to go there at that time, because there was no one to accompany me. We said, apa do what you can do, Almighty will save me. After some time, a normal baby boy was born, and my seizures become reduced. I stayed at the Family Welfare Centre for three hours, and there was no repeated convulsion. I have received three postnatal care check ups, the first two in Family Welfare Centre, and the third one in Bhola District Hospital. My pressure is normal now."

PE/E survivor, UH&FWC, Endline, 2018

Discussion

Baseline and intervention data collection were separated by two years, to compare changes in policies, the health system, and community perspectives of PE/E after the introduction of a well-defined PHC intervention to strengthen their providers' capacities. This intervention, with FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses in 136 facilities, also oriented referral facility care providers on referred PE/E patients' care and management.

One policy success was the project's support for the development of the **National Protocol and Service Delivery Guidelines on Detection, Prevention and Management of PE/E by PHC Providers**, collaboratively developed with DGFP, DGHS, OGSB, and other stakeholders, which was approved for use in the intervention facilities—a demonstrable improvement of baseline conditions (Warren et al 2015). This accomplishment likely would not have been realized without Ending Eclampsia's involvement. Any program for PE/E detection, prevention, and management involving PHC providers should have a service delivery protocol in place before intervention implementation. Although national policy dissemination to districts and sub-districts at baseline was sub-optimal (ibid), Ending Eclampsia successfully distributed the service delivery guidelines, as a ready reference, to program managers and service providers in the intervention areas.

The involvement of relevant parties such as DGFP, DGHS, OGSB, Save the Children, Jhpiego, Marie Stopes, as well as others helped increase the significance of addressing PE/E through PHC providers, for SDG achievement through reduced maternal and neonatal deaths. At endline, most policymakers, program managers, and service providers cited improvements in PE/E detection, prevention, and management by PHC providers at intervention facilities, and thought expansion of this intervention to other parts of the country was necessary for reducing maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. This supports WHO's and others' recommendations, to address PE/E at the PHC level through task sharing (WHO 2015, 2011).

Training PHC providers in hypertension classification, PE/E, correct BP measurement, albumin urine analysis, pregnancy risk assessment, MgSO₄ administration, as well as referrals, were key components of this intervention, in addition to ensuring facility readiness and commodities. Endline findings indicate significant improvements in provider knowledge, skills, and practice (Warren et al 2015). At endline nearly all service providers could correctly diagnose SPE/E in hypothetical situations, with far better results than those in a recent study from Afghanistan in which only 73 percent of SBAs evinced correct knowledge in similar case scenarios (Ansari et al 2019). Although FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses significantly improved their knowledge of BP indicating initiation of anti-hypertensive drugs for mild to moderate HDP, only 57 percent demonstrated this knowledge at endline, while most physicians demonstrated it at both baseline and endline. These findings could be due to the fact that anti-hypertensive drugs were not discussed in training because PHC providers are not allowed to prescribe anti-hypertensive drugs to pregnant women.

Another success of this project is improved knowledge of the loading dose of MgSO₄, which increased fourteen-fold from baseline: At endline all providers knew the correct loading dose of MgSO₄. Although knowledge among all providers of the maintenance dose of MgSO₄ for SPE/E improved significantly at endline ($p < 0.001$), its increase was not as high as expected. The modest increase could be due to the fact training emphasized a modified loading dose of MgSO₄, mostly for PHC providers and referrals, rather than a maintenance dose, which is only supposed to be given at secondary or tertiary facilities. This assumption is substantiated by the finding that more physicians likely to work at secondary facilities demonstrated knowledge of the maintenance dose at endline, compared to FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses.

This intervention's effectiveness was measured according to various indicators, particularly provider experiences in administering a loading dose of MgSO₄, improvement of various services as well as PE/E

detection and management, ANC clients' positive experiences and improved quality of care, improved referral mechanisms, and increased service uptake.

Administration of a loading dose of MgSO₄ by all service providers significantly increased ($p < 0.001$): At endline, nearly half of FWVs, SACMOs, and nurses, along with more than three fourths of physicians, had administered MgSO₄. In a similar quasi-experimental study in rural Bangladesh, maternal and neonatal deaths significantly decreased among women who had a loading dose administered locally, compared to those who did not receive a loading dose and only received a referral (Shamsuddin et al 2005).

Not all service providers in this study had a chance to administer a loading dose of MgSO₄, due to either a lack of PE/E patients or operational challenges. The most common challenge at baseline was reluctance or refusal of patients and their families for an injection during pregnancy. At endline, the most common challenge was providers' fear of community reactions if patients experienced side effects or died after MgSO₄ Administration. These are important barriers to consider if there is an expansion of the intervention, and moreover, both on- and off site digital mentoring for PE/E detection, prevention, and management should be introduced in any expanded program.

Provider practices for detecting and preventing PE/E—by assessing PE risk, detecting it, and advising of impending eclampsia (measured through composite summary score)—was poor at baseline (Warren et al 2015) but significantly improved at endline. Only two percent of all providers frequently measured patients' BP and proteinuria at baseline, which significantly increased—to 62 percent—at endline, which is another aspect of the intervention's success, and an element that should be promoted within any program that plans to address PE/E through PHC providers, including scale up.

Hodgins et al., in their review articles, conclude that simple interventions during pregnancy, such as PE/E detection, have considerable potential impact on important mortality outcomes (Hodgins et al 2018). Charanthimath et al. concluded, in a study in India, that task sharing with community-based health workers can facilitate early diagnosis of HDP and assist emergency care. Consistent advising by providers on the signs and symptoms of PE/E with every ANC client was not followed even at endline—this needs to be emphasized in provider trainings.

Clients reported improved quality of care at endline, consistent with quality of care findings from BDHS 2017. Ninety-six percent of clients reported BP measurement, consistent with a recent study in Afghanistan (Ansari et al 2019). Sixty-five percent of clients at endline reported urine analysis, yet monitoring data suggest that 47 percent of all service providers measured albumin in urine in the project areas. Providers ascribed low urine testing to uncomfortable environments at clinics and satellite clinics where no toilets are available for urination, along with service provider bias in choosing only risky patients and skipping testing for negative patients in subsequent visits, often because women themselves want to skip it if a previous reports was negative. While testing urine protein for all ANC patients is frequently recommended, experts now believe PE is possible without protein in urine (WHO 2011, NICE 2011, Mayo Clinic 2019, Pre-eclampsia Foundation 2019). Further research is warranted to determine if urine tests are essential, particularly for community providers in resource-poor settings.

The discrepancy of a greater proportion of clients in exit interviews reporting urine analysis than reported in the monitoring data may indicate a Hawthorne effect, with service providers modifying their behaviors, or even pretending, due to assessment or observation. Monitoring findings also reveal the necessity of proper and consistent documentation of all client information, which is lacking in every facility.

Service delivery statistics show significant improvements in SPE/E treatment as well as BP measurement and urine protein checks during ANC visits. If the PHC PE/E model intervention were to be implemented in all facilities, those findings indicate that PE/SPE/E detection and management would double in two years.

The proportion of pregnant women detected with high BP during ANC visits also increased. If PHC providers are trained and actively attempt to detect hypertension during ANC, early HDP diagnoses can be increased.

While this intervention is a great success, four percent of SPE/E patients at endline were either not given MgSO₄ before referral, or refused an MgSO₄ injection. The barriers preventing fulsome administration of MgSO₄ should be addressed in any subsequent expansion. In addition, although HDP diagnosis significantly increased, the rate is still low, which indicates the need for more programmatic efforts to motivate service providers for consistent, correct BP measurement and urine testing for albumin during ANC.

There were several limitations to this study, starting with unequal sample sizes of ANC observations, exit client interviews, and PE/E survivor interviews at baseline and endline. To make the study findings robust, different data sources were triangulated, and findings compared. Additionally, service providers' background characteristics at baseline and endline differed, as not all the same providers were interviewed at both data collection points, due to transfers or new postings, which may have contributed to differences in provider knowledge. Training was provided to every provider included in the intervention, however, to equip them with similar knowledge. Finally, a Hawthorne effect may have occurred during observed CPIs, whereby service providers modified their behaviors due to their observation.

Ending Eclampsia employed no rigorous community educational efforts in this Bangladesh program, except a PE/E brochure distributed to clients by service providers and field workers. Although a community evaluation was not conducted, the facility assessment shows positive impacts on improved client PE/E knowledge. The trend of late facility attendance during the antenatal period warrants the urgent attention of policymakers and program managers, for strengthened educational efforts within communities and at facilities. In two PE/E survivor cases, an infant death at a referral facility was mistakenly linked with an injection of a loading dose of MgSO₄ by the patients and their families. Attention also needs to be paid to patients who abandon the referral process once they receive their MgSO₄ injection, which was documented in the intervention areas.

Recommendations

- The scale up of this PE/E intervention package is widely believed as necessary. It can be accomplished through continued training of service providers, as well as ensuring that referral logistics and commodities are in place. Three days of training on HDP and PE/E for providers, including a one day refresher training after three months following initial training, is recommended.
- On- and off site digital mentoring for PE/E detection, prevention, and monitoring should be introduced in any expanded program. Medical officers-in-charge of PHC providers or district or sub-district obstetric and gynecological (Ob/Gyn) consultants should train their PHC providers. Trainers or mentors should make sure they provide telephone numbers for PHC providers to contact them for further advice.
- Every provider should conduct a PE/E risk assessment for all ANC patients that includes advising of the signs and symptoms of PE/E and other danger signs and symptoms, along with follow up of identified PE/E patients.
- Rigorous community educational interventions are recommended for early and regular ANC along with information on danger signs of pregnancy, including HDPs and use of MgSO₄ for SPE/E.
- Supervisors should monitor documentation of every patient's relevant information by their PHC providers.

References

- Abalos E, C Cuesta, AL Grosso, D Chou, L Say. 2013. Global and regional estimates of preeclampsia and eclampsia: a systematic review. *European Journal of Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 170: 1–7.
- Abalos E, L Duley, DW Steyn. 2007. Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (1):CD002252.*
- Arulkumaran N, L Lightstone. 2013. Severe preeclampsia and hypertensive crises. *Best Practices in Research of Clinical Obstet Gynaecol* 27: 877–884.
- Begum MR, A Begum, E Quadir. 2002. Loading dose versus standard regime of magnesium sulphate in the management of eclampsia: A randomized trial. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Research* 28: 154-159.
- Duley L, DJ Henderson-Smart, S Meher. 2006. Drugs for treatment of very high blood pressure during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (3): CD001449.*
- Duley L. 2009. The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. *Seminars in Perinatology* 33(3): 130–137.
- Mayo Clinic. www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/preeclampsia/diagnosis-treatment/drc-20355751.
- Ansari N, P Manalai, F Maruf, S Currie, J Stekelenburg, J van Roosmalen, YM Kim, H Tappis. 2019. Quality of care in early detection and management of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia in health facilities in Afghanistan. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 19: 36.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). 2011. Hypertension in pregnancy: The management of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy. NHS, UK.
- National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), and MEASURE Evaluation. 2017. *Bangladesh Maternal Mortality and Health Care Survey 2016: Preliminary Report*. Dhaka and Chapel Hill, NC, USA: NIPORT, icddr,b, and MEASURE Evaluation.
- National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, ICF. 2018. *Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18: Key Indicators*. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIPORT, Mitra and Associates, ICF.
- National Technical Committee (NTC). 2015. Minutes of the 63rd technical committee minute. Dhaka: Directorate General of family Planning (DGFP).
- Obstetrical and Gynecological Society of Bangladesh (OGSB), Population Council, EngenderHealth Bangladesh. 2014. Operationalizing the Proposed National Protocol for the Prevention and Management of Severe Pre-Eclampsia and Eclampsia Patients Using Loading Dose of Magnesium Sulphate at Community Level in Bangladesh. Dhaka: Population Council.
- Pre-eclampsia Foundation. www.preeclampsia.org/the-news/138-latest-news/637-making-sense-of-preeclampsia-tests.
- Save the Children. 2015. Community-based prevention and management of severe pre-eclampsia/eclampsia using loading dose of MgSO₄. Paper presented at dissemination seminar. Dhaka: Save the Children.
- Shamsuddin L et al. 2005. Use of Parenteral Magnesium Sulphate in Eclampsia and Severe Pre-eclampsia Cases in a Rural set up of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Medical Research Council Bulletin* 31(2): 75-82.

- Steegers EA, P von Dadelszen, JJ Duvekot, R Pijnenborg. 2010. Pre-eclampsia. *The Lancet* 376: 631–644.
- Hodgins, S, J Tielsch, K Rankin, A Robinson, A Kearns, J Caglia. 2018. A New Look at Care in Pregnancy: Simple, Effective Interventions for Neglected Populations. *PLOS ONE*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160562
- The Lancet. 2016. Ending preventable stillbirths: Stillbirth rates have fallen from 2000 to 2015 but there are still 2.6 million annual deaths. *ScienceDaily*. www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/01/160118184945.htm.
- Charanthimath U, M Vidler, G Katageri et al. 2018. The feasibility of task-sharing the identification, emergency treatment, and referral for women with pre-eclampsia by community health workers in India. *Reproductive Health* 15(Suppl 1): 101.
- Warren, C, S Hossain, RA Nur, K Sultana, K Kirk, A Dempsey. 2015. Landscaping Report on pre-eclampsia and eclampsia in Bangladesh. Washington, DC: Population Council.
- World Health Organization. 2007. Maternal mortality in 2005: estimates developed by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and the World Bank. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group, United Nations Population Division. 2015. *Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2015: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank and the United Nations Population Division*. <http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/112682>
- World Health Organization. 2018. Maternal Mortality. www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/maternal-mortality.
- World Health Organization. 2011. *WHO Recommendations for Prevention and Treatment of Pre-Eclampsia and Eclampsia*. WHO. Geneva.

Appendices

Appendix A: Uptake of services for 3 months at baseline, 12 month intervention statistics, and PMP indicators

PMP Indicators	Oct '16	Nov '16	Dec '16	Baseline total (in 136 facilities) Oct-Dec '16 (3 months)	Jan '17	Feb '17	Mar '17	Apr '17	May '17	Jun '17	Jul '17	Aug '17	Sep '17	Oct '17	Nov '17	Dec '17
Women with pre-eclampsia seen at facility per month	<i>n</i> 8	12	28	48	14	13	29	22	34	26	30	30	41	41	20	19
Women with severe PE seen at facility per month	<i>n</i> 0	16	4	20	0	29	8	6	13	17	17	6	21	28	16	35
Women with eclampsia seen at facility per month	<i>n</i> 35	38	69	142	66	28	29	36	57	43	44	41	42	49	70	72
Women with severe PE/E treated with MgSO ₄	<i>n</i> 1	9	23	33	59	56	36	40	66	53	58	45	45	74	80	106
Women with severe PE/E treated with MgSO ₄	% 3%	17%	32%	20%	89%	98%	97%	95%	94%	88%	95%	96%	71%	96%	93%	99%
ANC visits, per month (repeat visits and new)	<i>n</i> 11,292	12,183	10,279	33,754	11,872	12,218	12,767	13,402	13,705	11,177	15,457	14,662	13,487	15,293	14,361	11,953
Women whose BP measured in ANC visits	<i>n</i> 9,173	9,634	10,279	27,404	10,510	10,983	11,133	12,155	12,817	10,363	14,567	14,156	13,035	14,644	14,110	11,609
	% 81%	79%	84%	81%	89%	90%	87%	91%	94%	93%	94%	97%	97%	96%	98%	97%
Women whose urine checked for albumin at ANC visits	<i>n</i> 312	962	669	1943	1,081	3,513	4,856	5,342	5,441	4,794	7,379	7,593	6,825	7,110	7,285	5,537
	% 3%	8%	7%	6%	9%	29%	38%	40%	40%	43%	48%	52%	51%	46%	51%	46%
Pregnant women detected as high risk patients in ANC visits	<i>n</i> 702	1026	1068	2796	1820	2485	3056	3213	3334	2753	3522	3836	3851	3995	4044	3126
	% 6%	8%	10%	8%	15%	20%	24%	24%	24%	25%	23%	26%	29%	26%	28%	26%
Pregnant women detected with high BP during ANC visits	<i>n</i> 8	90	69	167	75	64	128	152	202	147	237	169	249	182	147	157
	% 0.001	0.007	0.007	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.010	0.011	0.015	0.013	0.015	0.012	0.018	0.012	0.010	0.013
Mid-level providers trained on PE/E management in intervention area	<i>n</i>		399	399	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mid-level providers who can correctly identify and detect PE	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mid-level providers who can correctly identify and detect eclampsia	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mid-level providers trained (49) to competence in appropriate anti-hypertensive use	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%							
Trained providers prescribing anti-hypertensive drugs to control BP among PE/E patients at intervention site	<i>n</i>	-	-	-	(49+44 trained)	-	-	-	3	4	5	5	6	4	3	5
Pregnant women who attended ANC aware of 2+ PE/E signs/symptoms	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Appendix B Uptake of services for second year intervention statistics, totals, and PMP indicators

PMP Indicators		Jan '18	Feb '18	Mar '18	Apr '18	May '18	Jun '18	Jul '18	Aug '18	Sep '18	Oct '18	Nov '18	Dec '18	Endline total (in 136 facilities) Jan '17-Dec '18 (24 months)
Women with pre-eclampsia seen at facility per month	<i>n</i>	28	29	30	29	21	11	31	29	40	42	30	25	664
Women with severe PE seen at facility per month	<i>n</i>	31	46	11	26	14	9	16	14	24	21	21	16	445
Women with eclampsia seen at facility per month	<i>n</i>	84	102	91	78	74	68	54	58	65	120	131	52	1554
Women with severe PE/E treated with MgSO ₄	<i>n</i>	111	140	102	100	86	77	68	71	86	133	150	68	1910
<i>Women with severe PE/E treated with MgSO₄</i>	%	97%	95%	100%	96%	98%	100%	97%	99%	97%	94%	99%	100%	96%
ANC visits, per month (repeat visits and new)	<i>n</i>	13,641	13,630	14,014	13,729	13,325	12,470	15,995	14,518	16,216	16,272	15,046	15,095	334,305
Women whose BP measured in ANC visits	<i>n</i>	13,169	13,222	13,664	13,391	13,054	12,267	15,788	14,218	16,048	16,083	14,650	14,743	320,379
	%	97%	97%	98%	98%	98%	98%	99%	98%	99%	99%	97%	98%	96%
Women whose urine checked for albumin at ANC visits	<i>n</i>	6,778	7,271	7,070	7,913	7,027	6,022	8,077	7,725	7,769	7,958	7,997	8,164	156,527
	%	50%	53%	50%	58%	53%	48%	50%	53%	48%	49%	53%	54%	47%
Pregnant women detected as high risk patients in ANC visits	<i>n</i>	2,910	3,252	3,487	3,079	2,725	2,732	3,508	3,106	3,557	3,386	3,308	3,418	77,503
	%	21%	24%	25%	22%	20%	22%	22%	21%	22%	21%	22%	23%	23%
Pregnant women detected with high BP during ANC visits	<i>n</i>	213	197	184	189	139	117	167	180	179	143	147	194	3,958
	%	0.016	0.014	0.013	0.014	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.011	0.009	0.010	0.013	0.012
Mid-level providers trained on PE/E management in intervention area (refresher)*	<i>n</i>	118*	99*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	217*
Mid-level providers who can correctly identify and detect PE	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	93%		-	-	-	-	
Mid-level providers who can correctly identify and detect E	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	94%		-	-	-	-	
<i>Mid-level providers trained (44) to competence in appropriate anti-hypertensive use (refresher)*</i>	%	90%*							-	-	-	-		
Trained service providers prescribing anti-hypertensive drugs to control BP among PE/E patients at intervention site	<i>n</i>	4	8	7	5	4	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	
Pregnant women who attended ANC aware of 2+ PE/E signs/symptoms	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	34%		-	-	-	-	