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REDUCING MATERNAL AND CHILD MORTALITY IN KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

The Untapped Potential of Family Planning

Family planning is recognized as a necessary tool for faster fertility decline leading to accelerated economic development. However, its unique and potent role in preserving mother and child health is less well understood. This brief explains why family planning must be prioritized in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa’s health strategy as a key intervention for reducing maternal, infant and under-five mortality in the province.
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) confronts a high incidence of preventable deaths among mothers, infants, and young children. Maternal deaths account for 27 percent of mortality among women of reproductive age (PDHS 2007). In 2012, the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) was estimated at 206 per 100,000 births (Sathar, Wazir and Sadiq 2014); the infant mortality ratio (IMR) was 58 per 1,000 births; and the under-five mortality ratio (U5MR) was 70 per 1,000 births (PDHS 2013). These ratios currently translate into an annual death toll of nearly 1,700 women, 47,400 infants, primarily due to conditions that could easily be prevented with basic healthcare.

Until recently, the health system’s response to lowering maternal and child mortality has focused on increasing women’s access to antenatal, postnatal and obstetric care; improving nutrition; expanding immunization; and ensuring treatment for the two major child killers, diarrhea and pneumonia. In recent years, important improvements in MCH indicators have been achieved in KP. Between 1990-91 and 2012-13, it is estimated that skilled birth attendance rose from 12 to 48 percent, and the proportion of women receiving antenatal care went up from 18 to 61 percent (PDHS 1990-91 and 2013-14). Since 2001, complete immunization among children aged 12 to 23 months has also increased from 57 to 75 percent (PIHS/PSLM 2001-02 and 2013-14).

While these are important and necessary elements of the arsenal for improving maternal and child health (MCH), the potential role of family planning has remained underutilized. In the past 15 years, the contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) in KP has inched forward by less than half a percentage point a year from 24 percent in 2000-01 to only 28 percent in 2012-13 (Fig. 1).

Yet, there is strong evidence to warrant a repositioning of family planning in national and provincial health strategies as a central MCH intervention (Box 1). It is internationally recognized that women face significantly heightened risks of pregnancy-related death when they are too young (less than 18 years) or too old (more than 34 years) at the time of birth; when the birth interval is less than 33 months; and when parity exceeds three children. In addition, every unintended pregnancy represents an unnecessary risk, which escalates when a woman resorts to induced abortion—especially when the procedure is performed in unsafe settings, as is typically the case in Pakistan.

Furthermore, we now know that children’s risk of dying in infancy or before the age of five is strongly correlated with the same high-risk fertility behaviors that endanger mothers’ lives. The strong association between maternal health and infant survival, particularly for neonates, is the basis of the Healthy Spacing and Timing of Pregnancies (HSTP) initiative launched by the World Health Organization (WHO).
To meet its ambitious MMR, IMR and U5MR targets, the government must leverage every promising intervention at its disposal. Family planning offers an extremely effective but as yet under-exploited route for achieving huge reductions in maternal and child mortality.

The good news is that the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Sector Strategy 2010-2017 and the Draft Population Policy 2014 both recognize the important links between raising contraceptive use in KP and improving survival among mothers, infants and children under the age of five. However, for a faster uptake of family planning in KP, the draft population policy emphasizes a need for “strong leadership support and open commitment at the highest level for continued and enhanced social acceptability of birth spacing, with a mechanism to foster inter-sectoral linkages and support.”

This renewed emphasis on family planning must be achieved soon given the important difference it can make in current trends in maternal and infant mortality. KP’s estimated MMR dropped steeply between 2001 and 2006, but the decline became much slower thereafter (Fig. 2). Similarly, a decline in the province’s infant and child (under 5 years of age) mortality ratios has almost plateaued since 2006-07 (Fig. 3). Regrettably, like the other provinces, KP was unable to achieve its Millennium Development Goals for MMR, IMR, and U5MR in 2015.

Under Vision 2025, reducing maternal and infant mortality has been declared a national priority. Encouragingly, the Government of KP, in its Health Sector Strategy, aims to lower the MMR to 140 per 100,000 births and the IMR to 40 per 1,000 by 2017. However, in order to meet these difficult targets, the government will need to leverage every promising intervention at its disposal. Family planning offers an extremely effective but as yet under-exploited route for getting there.
IMPROVING MATERNAL AND CHILD SURVIVAL IN KP THROUGH FAMILY PLANNING

Among men and women in KP, there is a growing preference for avoiding the high-risk fertility behaviors that threaten maternal and child health. It is estimated that 72 percent of women wish to limit or delay births by two years (Fig. 4), and this desire is shared by 61 percent of men (PDHS 2013).

Worryingly, however, these healthier fertility preferences are not translating into practice. Surveys show that 54 percent of married women of reproductive age (MWRA) in KP would like to use contraceptives to space or limit births. However, only 28 percent are using any family planning method (Fig. 5). The proportion of women using reliable modern methods is even smaller, i.e., 20 percent. Therefore, nearly half of family planning need in KP is currently unmet—26 percent of MWRA are not using any method, modern or traditional, even though they wish to space or limit births. This gap indicates that a significant increase in contraceptive prevalence can be achieved capitalizing on this group even without extensive demand generation efforts.

The gap between family planning demand and need also means, however, that a large proportion of MWRA in KP are unable to practice healthy spacing and timing of pregnancies, which exposes them and their young children to the following sources of mortality risks:

1. **Unintended pregnancies** – The province’s total fertility rate (TFR) is 3.9 while the estimated average number of children wanted by women is 2.6 (PDHS 2012-13). This means a third of the 1.3 million pregnancies that occur in the province every year are unintended and, on average, every woman of reproductive age faces the unnecessary risk and physical burden of more than one unintended pregnancy.

2. **Unsafe induced abortions** – Due to unwanted pregnancies, there were slightly over 224,000 induced abortions in KP in 2012, resulting in nearly 68,500 cases of post-abortion complications (PAC). With 9 out of every 1,000 women of reproductive age seeking treatment, the province accounted for 8 percent of the PAC caseload for the entire country (Population Council 2014).

3. **Adolescent pregnancy** – At the time of the 2012-13 PDHS, 10.9 percent of women (aged 15-19) had begun childbearing. Among every 1,000 women in this age group, 37 had given birth in urban areas and 56 in rural areas. These young women face special health risks that are further aggravated by poverty and relatively lower access to MCH services.

4. **Infants of teenaged mothers** – Moreover, as shown in Fig. 6, neonatal mortality among children of teenaged mothers is significantly higher than the level
found among women aged 20-29, and there are similar significant differentials in the post-neonatal mortality rate by mother’s age.

5. **Late childbearing** – According to PDHS 2013, some 17 percent of women in KP had given birth after the age of 35. Late childbearing is associated with heightened risks of maternal and infant health issues.

6. **High parity** – PDHS 2013 found 50 percent of women in KP had given birth to four or more children. This situation exposes mothers as well as infants and young children to heightened risks of malnutrition and health complications.

7. **Short birth intervals** – To give mothers the best chance to maintain sound health while delivering and raising healthy children, WHO recommends an interval of at least 33 months between births. Birth spacing is also known to play an important role in the nutritional status of children under 5 years of age, with shorter birth intervals increasing the risk of low weight, at birth and beyond, as well as stunting. However, about 28 percent of women in KP gave birth less than 24 months after a previous birth, while 60 percent gave birth less than 36 months after the previous birth (PDHS 2013). Fig. 7 illustrates the great differences in mortality ratios among infants born after short and adequate birth intervals.

The above-outlined risks, which lead to maternal, infant and young child mortality, can be addressed through family planning. To prevent the mortality associated with high-risk fertility behavior, the existing demand for family planning must be fulfilled at the earliest by eliminating current unmet need. In the longer run, the public and provincial stakeholders must be educated about the necessity of healthy spacing and timing of pregnancies so that demand for contraception increases to cover the complete family planning needs of all MWRA. Increased use of family planning would not only prevent the mortality and sickness caused by high-risk fertility behavior, it would also reduce the pressure of unintended pregnancies and births, and associated maternal and child morbidity on the health system.
MEASURING THE POWERFUL LIFE-SAVING POTENTIAL OF FAMILY PLANNING IN KP

In 2014, the Population Council, Pakistan conducted a study to estimate the size of reductions achievable in maternal, infant, and child mortality in KP through increased family planning (Sathar, Wazir and Sadiq 2014). Simulations were conducted to gauge the change in maternal, infant and child mortality when existing unmet need for family planning (26 percent) is reduced or eliminated by raising the CPR.

In the case of maternal mortality, the study examined the effect of eliminating unmet need by raising the CPR from its existing level of 28 percent to 54 percent (Scenario 1 in Fig. 8). For comparison purposes, the effect of increasing skilled birth attendance from its past level of 48 percent to 80 percent was also examined (Scenario 2) (Sathar, Wazir and Sadiq 2014).

To measure the impact of family planning on infant mortality, simulations of two scenarios were conducted—one in which unmet need was reduced by raising the CPR to 41 percent (Scenario 1 in Fig. 9) and the second in which unmet need was completely eliminated by raising the CPR to 54 percent (Scenario 2). The study arrived at the following eye-opening conclusions:

**Reduction Achievable in Maternal Mortality**
- Eliminating unmet need for family planning would prevent 37 percent of maternal deaths (Fig. 8)
- Raising skilled birth attendance from 48 to 80 percent would prevent 36 percent of maternal deaths (Fig. 8)
- Eliminating unmet need and simultaneously increasing skilled birth attendance to 80 percent would prevent 60 percent of maternal deaths (Fig. 8)

**Reduction Achievable in Infant Mortality**
- Reducing unmet need for family planning by increasing the CPR to 41 percent would reduce infant mortality by 28 percent (Fig. 9)
- Eliminating unmet need altogether would reduce infant mortality by 57 percent (Fig. 9)

These findings show that family planning programs should be an equally important component of improving maternal health and reducing maternal and infant mortality. The same reductions in maternal mortality can be achieved by eliminating unmet need for family planning as by increasing skilled birth attendance.

---

**FIGURE 8: MATERNAL LIVES THAT CAN BE SAVED ANNUALLY IN KP BY INCREASING CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE AND SKILLED BIRTH ATTENDANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Situation</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>**CPR 28%</td>
<td>SBA 48%**</td>
<td><strong>Unmet need for family planning is eliminated</strong></td>
<td><strong>Skilled birth attendance rises</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1,685</strong></td>
<td><strong>622</strong></td>
<td><strong>605</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,006</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>37% of lives saved</strong></td>
<td><strong>36% of lives saved</strong></td>
<td><strong>60% of lives saved</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPR: Contraceptive Prevalence Rate
SBA: Skilled Birth Attendance
Source: PDHS 2013 and simulation based on WHO 2000 and Lij et al. 2008 (Sathar Wazir and Sadiq 2014)
POLICY IMPLICATIONS

For a rapid reduction in maternal, infant, and child mortality to the levels targeted for 2017 and onwards, the most effective strategies for improving MCH need to be galvanized in KP. The evidence shows that family planning is one of the most powerful tools at the government’s disposal. Simply by fulfilling the existing unmet need for birth spacing and limiting—which would mean raising the CPR to 54 percent—it is possible to prevent 37 percent of maternal deaths, 57 percent of infant deaths. This will lead to proportionate declines in the maternal, infant and child mortality ratios of the province. Notably, more women’s lives can be saved in this manner than by increasing skilled birth attendance from 48 to 80 percent.

Family planning’s wider health benefits further justify its immediate prioritization. These include, for example, reduced anemia among women; lower numbers of underweight, wasted, and stunted children; and reduced burden on antenatal, obstetric, postnatal and post-abortion services.

Moreover, family planning is highly cost-effective: every dollar spent on this intervention saves nearly four dollars that would otherwise be spent on maternal health, immunization, malaria, water and sanitation, and education (Bongaarts 2012).

In view of its immediate and significant health benefits, family planning must be swiftly repositioned in provincial policy as a key mother and child health intervention. In this regard, it is highly laudable that the Department of Health plans to revitalize the delivery of family planning services and, in particular, ensure uninterrupted supply of contraceptives to facilities and community-based health workers, under a Minimum Health Service Package (MHSP) for primary and secondary healthcare that will be accessible to 70 percent of the population by 2017. This intent must be supported with commitment from the highest level of government; synergistic cooperation with other partners, especially the Population Welfare and Planning & Development Departments; and adequate financial allocation.

The cost–benefit analysis of investing in family planning should further take into account the profound links of this intervention with the government’s socioeconomic and population aims and policies. The benefits of family planning in terms of increased women’s empowerment, female participation in the workforce, household savings, poverty reduction, and school enrollment are well-documented (Sathar, Wazir and Sadiq 2014) and, to a considerable extent, acknowledged in KP’s Comprehensive Development Strategy. These gains will be most visible when family planning interventions are targeted at the segments of KP’s population that need them most: the less developed and northern districts; the rural areas; poor communities; and young, uneducated women.
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