2019

The medicalisation of female genital mutilation/cutting in Kenya: Perspectives of families and health care providers

Population Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://knowledgecommons.popcouncil.org/departments_sbsr-rh

Part of the [Demography, Population, and Ecology Commons](#), [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](#), [Gender and Sexuality Commons](#), and the [International Public Health Commons](#)

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

The medicalisation of female genital mutilation/cutting in Kenya: Perspectives of families and health care providers, Evidence to End FGM/C: Research to Help Girls and Women Thrive. New York: Population Council, 2019.

This Brief is brought to you for free and open access by the Population Council.

THE MEDICALISATION OF FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION/CUTTING IN KENYA: PERSPECTIVES OF FAMILIES AND HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS

The Evidence to End FGM/C programme consortium generates evidence to inform and influence investments, policies, and programs for ending female genital mutilation/cutting in different contexts.

Population Council
Lead Institution

Africa Coordination
Centre for Abandonment
of Female Genital
Mutilation/Cutting,
Kenya (ACCAF)

Global Research and
Advocacy Group,
Senegal (GRAG)

MannionDaniels Ltd.
(MD)

Population Reference
Bureau. (PRB)

University of Washington
(Prof. Bettina Shell-
Duncan)

University of California,
San Diego (Dr. Gerry
Mackie)

Funded by

INTRODUCTION

About one out of every five women and girls aged 15 – 49 years in Kenya¹ has undergone female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM/C)—a practice that is a violation of the rights of women and girls. The majority of women and girls in Kenya are cut by traditional practitioners, including traditional birth attendants (TBAs). However, girls are now increasingly being cut by health care providers—a practice known as the medicalisation of FGM/C (Figure 1).^{1,2} Based on intergenerational data collected from mothers, daughters are less often cut by TBAs and other traditional circumcisers in comparison to their mothers.

Figure 1. Percentage of girls and women by who performed FGM/C, 2014 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey

Medicalised FGM/C is carried out in hospitals, at home, or at neutral places using surgical tools, antiseptics, and anaesthetics.² Some of the reasons for medicalisation of FGM/C include the reduction of immediate complications while allowing the women and girls to adhere to their cultural obligations, and financial benefits for the practitioners.²⁻⁵ Medicalisation of FGM/C has been documented among the Kisii and Somali communities in Kenya.^{3,4}

This evidence brief summarises key findings from a study⁶ that sought to understand the shifts in FGM/C including medicalisation among the Kisii, Kuria, and Somali communities in Garissa, Kisii, Migori, and Nairobi counties.

Recommendations

- Awareness raising programmes should clarify that the medicalisation of FGM/C is detrimental to the health of women and girls.
- Health care providers should be trained on the complications associated with FGM/C as well as legal and human rights issues. This training should also address their role in the abandonment of the practice.
- Health professionals' associations and health regulatory agencies should have clear guidelines and standards for providers that outline the sanctions against those who perform FGM/C.

METHODS

Data were collected using qualitative methods: key informant interviews (KIIs), in-depth interviews (IDIs), and focus group discussions (FGDs). KIIs were held with administrative, religious, and community leaders, as well as health sector stakeholders in each region. The IDIs and FGDs were conducted among women of reproductive age, young mothers, older women, young fathers, older men, and girls.

FINDINGS

Where is medicalised FGM/C taking place?

Medicalisation was noted to be common among the Abagusii and Somali communities. Medicalised FGM/C occurred in both urban and rural areas but was noted to be more common in urban areas. Although some girls were cut in private health facilities, having the health care provider perform the cut at home enabled families to keep the event secret.

Medicalised FGM/C was rarely reported by participants in Kuria. The community appears to be stable, consistent, and resistant to the shift of medicalisation. The council of elders, who are custodians of the Kuria culture, were noted to play a central role in selecting cutters, which might explain why girls in the Kuria community are primarily subjected to traditional cutting.

“Medicalised FGM/C. No, that one I have never heard about it in our community. It’s like a taboo for a girl to be circumcised in hospital.”

Female, Religious leader, Kuria

Why is medicalisation increasing?

- It is perceived to be safer because the procedure, is reportedly performed under sterile conditions by trained health professionals.
- Medicalisation is believed to shorten the recovery period.
- It is a response to the law prohibiting the practice because it allows FGM/C to be performed in secret.

“Medicalised FGM/C allows families to cut and hide their girls because they do not stay for long while recuperating in the house. For the medicalised FGM/C, no one will even know a girl have been cut.”

40-year-old woman, Nairobi

Why do health care providers perform FGM/C?

As illustrated in Figure 2, health care providers’ motives for performing FGM/C centred around three issues: a desire to reduce the negative impacts of the practice; a fear of negative sanctions from the community if they refuse to perform FGM/C; and medicalised FGM/C boosts health care providers’ income.

Figure 2. Reasons why health care providers perform FGM/C

CONCLUSION

Study findings suggest that medicalisation is an adaptation to awareness of health complications associated with FGM/C and the legal banning of the practice. These results underscore the need for anti-FGM/C programmes that target health care providers.

REFERENCES

1. KNBS, & ICF International. (2014). Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Calverton, Maryland: KNBS & ICF International.
2. Kimani S, Shell-Duncan B. (2018). [Medicalized female genital mutilation/cutting: contentious practices and persistent debates](#). Current Sexual Health Reports. 10:25–34.
3. Jaldesa, G. W., Askew, I., Njue, C., and Wanjiru, M. (2005). Female genital cutting among the Somali of Kenya and management of its complications. <https://bit.ly/2FOVstw>
4. Njue, C., and Askew, I. (2004). Medicalisation of female genital cutting among the Abagusii in Nyanza Province, Kenya. Frontiers in Reproductive Health. New York: Population Council
5. UNICEF. (2013). Female genital mutilation/cutting: a statistical overview and exploration of the dynamics of change. New York: UNICEF.
6. Kimani, S and Kabiru, C W. (2018). [Shifts in female genital mutilation/cutting in Kenya: Perspectives of families and health care providers](#). Evidence to End FGM/C: Research to Help Girls and Women Thrive. New York: Population Council.