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Abstract

Using data from Demographic and Health Surveys, this study examines living arrangements of older adults in 43 developing countries and compares patterns by gender, world regions, and macro-level measures of socioeconomic development. Indicators include household size, headship, relationship to head, and coresidence with spouse, children, and others. Unweighted regional averages and OLS regressions determine whether variations exist. Average household sizes are large, but a substantially higher proportion of elderly adults live alone than do individuals in other age groups. Females are more likely than males to live alone and are less likely to live with a spouse or to head a household. Heading a household and living in a large household and with young children are more prevalent in Africa than elsewhere. Coresidence with adult children is most common in Asia and least common in Africa. Coresidence is more frequently with sons than with daughters in both Asia and Africa, but not in Latin America. Variations in living arrangements within regions are explained in part by associations between national levels of education and household structure. As a country’s level of schooling rises, most living arrangement indicators change, with families becoming more nuclear. Urbanization and GNP have no significant effects on living arrangements. The associations with education may be attributable to a variety of intermediating factors, such as migration of children and preferences for privacy.
Western industrialized countries have developed social security, pension, and public health systems to support older adults and supplement their personal and family resources. In contrast, in many developing countries little or no such government-funded institutional support is available. Older adults in developing countries of Africa, Asia, and Latin America often require social, economic, and physical assistance, but many are ill equipped to provide for themselves because of poor health and a lack of private savings. As a result, they tend to rely heavily on members of their household and family for their well-being and survival. Households throughout the developing world represent the main institution responsible for the distribution of goods and services between generations, and they are the principal venue through which age and kinship roles are expressed (Thornton, Chang, and Sun 1984; Becker 1991; Kuznets 1978). Many cultures have imbedded within them norms about respect for older adults and the responsibility of the young to care for the old when the need arises (Martin 1990; Nydegger 1983). Some countries, such as China, have even written such norms into their laws and constitution (Wu 1994). Policymakers value these familial systems of care for the elderly and prefer to maintain them rather than introducing other, potentially expensive, government programs (Knodel, Chayovan, and Siriboon 1992). As a result, it is primarily members of one’s household who provide familial support for older adults.

Issues concerning household structure and support for older adults in developing countries are becoming increasingly important as population aging begins to influence many of these societies. The mortality and fertility declines that move populations through their demographic transitions inevitably result in increases over time in the proportion of a population who are old (Coale 1964; Grigsby 1991). Population aging is already advanced in Western industrialized countries, where the proportion aged 65 and older is now 14 percent, and this proportion is projected to double by 2050 (United Nations 1999). In much of the developing world population aging is less pronounced because the transition to low levels of fertility and mortality is still underway. In a number of developing countries, particularly in East and Southeast Asia, fertility declines began relatively early and proceeded rapidly. As a result, increases in the older segment of these populations are now occurring at rates that exceed those experienced in most of the developed world. If future fertility and mortality declines proceed as now projected
by the United Nations (1999), population aging will become a universal phenomenon in all developing countries and all world regions in the next few decades. While policy options for responding to population aging through the reform of social security, pension, and health care systems have received increasing attention in the developed world, much less attention has been given to these issues elsewhere (OECD 1998; World Bank 1994).

Population aging in many developing countries is occurring at a time when such countries are also experiencing rapid socioeconomic and demographic changes. Economies are growing as industry replaces agriculture, literacy levels rise, urbanization proceeds rapidly, women bear fewer children, and people live longer and healthier lives. The effects of these trends on families, households, kin networks, and subsequent support for older adults are complex and not well documented. A generally held view among family sociologists is that the size and complexity of households and residential families decrease as a society industrializes and urbanizes (Goode 1963; Burch 1967; United Nations 1973; McDonald 1992). In largely rural traditional societies, residential families are more often extended, either horizontally or vertically, than in modern industrialized societies where the independent nuclear family predominates. McDonald (1992) refers to this generalization as the convergence theory of family structure and considers William Goode its originator. Goode (1963) predicted the convergence of family systems around the world to the conjugal type: “Wherever the economic system expands through industrialization, family patterns change. Extended kinship ties weaken, lineage patterns dissolve, and a trend toward some form of the conjugal system generally begins to appear—that is, the nuclear family becomes a more independent kinship unit” (p. 6). According to Goode one of the implications of this transition is a “weakening of ties with the older generation” (p. 15), but he provides few details. Presumably, this weakening of ties refers to a reduction in social interaction and financial and physical support for the older generation, as well as to greater prevalence of separate living arrangements as countries develop. Little research has been undertaken to confirm this hypothesis, in part because the data needed to analyze these issues are difficult to collect.

Our study examines the living arrangement dimension of Goode’s convergence theory. The size and composition of households clearly vary over time and among societies, and this variation has implications for the well-being of older adults. Studies of
living arrangements of older adults can therefore make an important contribution to understanding the determinants of the survival and functioning of older populations and have been the starting point for many studies of aging in developing countries (Martin and Kinsella 1994). Ideally, extended time-series data on living arrangements and a comprehensive set of development indicators should be used for such an analysis. Unfortunately these data are not readily available. Hence, the current analysis relies on contemporary data for a large number of developing countries in order to investigate how living arrangements vary among countries at different levels of development and across broad world regions, and to identify the factors associated with differences in living arrangements.

**BACKGROUND**

Previous studies of living arrangements of older adults in developing countries have generally focused on one or at most a few countries. Analysts have given particular attention to East and Southeast Asia, where reductions in family size make the availability of supporting family members a matter of concern and where the availability of necessary data has been greatest (Ahn et al. 1997; Asis et al. 1995; Cameron 2000; Casterline et al. 1991; Chan 1997; Chen 1996; Davanzo and Chan 1994; Domingo and Casterline 1992; Kim and Rhee 1997; Knodel and Chayovan 1997; Martin 1989; Natividad and Cruz 1997). Some studies have also been conducted in Latin America (De Vos 1998, 1990; Palloni, De Vos, and Palaez 1999) but few focus on Africa. A typical investigation describes household size and composition, coresidence with children, and other living arrangement indicators and analyzes their socioeconomic correlates. The most consistent findings from this research are that older adults rarely live alone and usually reside with a spouse and/or adult child. Older males are more likely than older females to live with a spouse. Coresidence of older adults with one of their adult children is most common in the least developed societies because levels of parent–child coresidence are inversely related to socioeconomic development (Asis et al. 1995). Parents and children both benefit from living together. Older adults receive the social, financial, and health support they require from the younger generation. Reciprocal exchanges take place when older adults assist with caretaking of younger children or look after the home when other adults are away.
The gender of a coresiding child has implications for the nature and level of support provided to older adults (Ahn et al. 1997; Ofstedal, Knodel, and Chayovan 1999). Social structures and related gender preferences differ systematically among countries. For example, Mason (1992) identified two dominant patterns in Asia. One is the patri-lineal system, where males dominate ownership of resources, while women, when they marry, take the identification of the husband’s family. In these types of societies (for example, in China and most of India) adults tend to live with a married son and most likely receive care, when needed, from a daughter-in-law. The other Asian system, found in countries such as Thailand and Cambodia, is bilateral. In these cases, women and men are considered to be equal members of their natal families, and there is little if any preference with respect to the gender of the coresident child. Since patriarchal or bilateral structures primarily affect where children live after marriage, stronger preferences can be most easily noticed among married children.

Although a few analysts have examined the household structure of older adults across countries within regions (Hashimoto 1991; Martin 1989; Ofstedal, Knodel, and Chayovan 1999), studies of living arrangements from a broader geographic perspective across many developing countries and several world regions are lacking. Such studies are desirable because they can determine whether particular types of household structures and living arrangement patterns among older adults are consistent across regions. In addition, relatively little is known about the association between living arrangements and different macro-level factors (for example, levels of income, urbanization, and education). Using data from a large number of countries would allow the introduction of country-level measures to analyze these issues in more detail.

A clear deterrent to such studies has been the difficulty in obtaining comparable data that cover a wide range of countries in the developing world. To add a global dimension to research on living arrangements, the current study employs data from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) conducted in a large number of countries in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. The main objective of these surveys is to collect data on matters related to reproductive behavior and child health, but information gathered in the household questionnaire also allows the analysis of the structure of a random sample of households in each country. Although this information is limited in scope, the DHS
provides a valuable and underused source of information on household structures in the
developing world.

DATA AND METHODS

This study relies on data from household surveys conducted in the following 43 countries that have participated in the DHS program between 1990 and 1998:


Asia: Bangladesh, India*, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Kirgizstan, Nepal, Pakistan*, Philippines, Turkey, Uzbekistan*, Yemen*.

Latin America: Bolivia, Brazil*, Colombia*, Dominican Republic, Guatemala*, Haiti, Nicaragua, Paraguay*, Peru.

In countries where multiple surveys were implemented between 1990 and 1998, we employ only the latest available survey. In general, the surveys were nationally representative, but in 12 countries certain parts of the countries were excluded for various practical considerations. In these cases, indicated with an asterisk above, coverage ranged from 90 to 99 percent. (For details see Ayad, Barrere, and Otto 1997.)

The main objective of DHS household surveys is to identify women aged 15–49 who are eligible for a subsequent detailed interview on their reproductive and health behavior. For each household member the household questionnaire records age, sex, relationship to head, education level, and residential status; and, for children, it records the presence and survival status of their parents. In a small number of surveys marital status of members is also noted. In addition, characteristics of the household (for example, source of drinking water, availability of electricity, type of toilet facilities, household possessions) are recorded. The size of the household sample varies among countries, with the majority between 3,000 and 10,000. These data, available in standardized computer data files, are a unique source for comparative analysis of demographic characteristics of households and their members in the developing world (Ayad, Barrere, and Otto 1997).

To examine some aspects of the living arrangements of older adults, it is necessary to reconstruct relationships among household members using the older adult, rather
than the household head, as the index person. In particular, the presence of spouses and adult children of older adults are key variables in our subsequent analysis. Determining these aspects of living arrangements is straightforward for the large majority of older adults because they are the head, the spouse of the head, or the parent or parent-in-law of the head (on average 97 percent of males and 92 percent of females fall into one of these categories). Identifying the precise relationship between an older adult and all other individuals in the household is not possible with the information collected in the DHS.

The data from DHS household surveys have other limitations for any analysis of the living situation of older adults. For example, the number of living children of older adults is not known because information on children living outside the household is not collected. If there are non-coresident children, their proximity to the older adult is also unknown. Furthermore, the absence of information on the age and birth order of all living children prevents an analysis of the preference of older adults for living with younger or older children. In most surveys the marital status of children in the household is not recorded, but it is possible to determine indirectly whether older adults are living with married sons or daughters on the basis of information about the presence of sons-in-law and daughters-in-law in the household and the presence of the spouse of the household head. Information is also lacking on exchanges between older adults and their children, both within the household and with kin and others living elsewhere.

Although these data limitations restrict the scope of analysis, the DHS makes up in breadth of coverage across geographic regions what it lacks in detail. We examine several indicators of living arrangements of older adults, including size of household, percent living alone, headship, relationship to head, and coresidence with kin and others. We also present measures of the prevalence of coresidence with a spouse, with adult children, or with other adults. The last implies living in a “complex” household structure that extends beyond a nuclear family consisting of spouse and children. Since coresidence with adult children is an important component of the support system of older adults in the developing world, we examine this living arrangement and the gender of the coresident adult child.

In our analysis of the household structures of older adults, the country is the unit of analysis, and results are summarized as country averages for various living arrange-
ment indicators, usually separately for elderly males and females and for different regions. For our purposes, the mean is considered an appropriate summary measure because for nearly all indicators the skew of the distribution of country estimates was negligible or very small. It is important to note that regional averages (that is, averages across countries within each region) are unweighted. For instance, to determine the average household size for elderly males in Africa, their average household sizes are summed for the 23 African countries and this sum is divided by 23. In these calculations of the regional mean, each country is given the same weight regardless of population size.

The central part of our analysis examines the role of socioeconomic factors in explaining variation in living arrangement indicators among countries and regions. Specifically, regression analyses determine whether country averages for these indicators are associated with levels of education, life expectancy, GNP per capita, and percent urban, while controlling for the effects of region and gender. Data for these variables are obtained from the DHS itself (in the case of urban/rural residence and level of schooling) or from other sources (United Nations 1999; World Bank 1996).

SIZE, HEADSHIP, AND COMPOSITION OF THE HOUSEHOLDS OF OLDER ADULTS

Size

The literature on household and family structure relies on two distinct approaches to determining the average number of people living together: 1) the average size of households, which is simply the average number of individuals per household in a population; and 2) the average “experienced household size,” which equals the number of household members found in the household of an average individual (Burch 1979; King and Preston 1990). The unit of analysis in the calculation of the former is the household, and in the latter it is the individual. These two measures differ consistently, as can be demonstrated with a simple hypothetical example. Assume that half of all households in a population have one member and the remaining half have nine members. In that case the size of the average household is five. However, if each individual is asked the size of his or her household, then 90 percent of the population will report a size of nine and the remaining 10 percent will report living alone. The average household size experienced
by individuals therefore equals 8.2 members: \((0.9 \times 9) + (0.1 \times 1)\). In general, whenever households vary in size, average household size is larger when the individual rather than the household is the unit of analysis. The reason for this finding is obviously that larger households contain more individuals than do smaller households.

Table 1 presents (unweighted) average household sizes for our three developing regions (regional standard deviations noted in parentheses), as measured from these two perspectives (the household and the individual). The differences are in the expected direction in every region. For example, the average household size for all countries is 5.2 members, but the country average for experienced household size is 6.9 members. Similar differences are observed in all regions and individual countries (data for the latter not shown). In our subsequent analyses we rely exclusively on household characteristics as measured from the perspective of the individual, or “experienced household size.”

Since the present discussion focuses on older adults, it is of interest to compare the size of households in which older persons reside (defined here as those aged 65 and older) with the household size experienced by all individuals. Across all countries, the average household size for older adults is 5.5 members. The regional estimates are quite similar for Asia (5.5) and Africa (5.8), but are somewhat lower for Latin America (4.5).

(The last column shows P-values representing the significance level of F-ratios determined in the analysis of variance.)

Table 1  Average household size and percent living alone by region and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>Latin America</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average household size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All individuals</td>
<td>5.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 65 +</td>
<td>5.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males 65 +</td>
<td>5.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females 65 +</td>
<td>5.8 (1.6)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent who live alone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All individuals</td>
<td>2.0 (1.4)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 65 +</td>
<td>9.7 (5.4)</td>
<td>7.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>8.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>8.8 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males 65 +</td>
<td>7.3 (4.4)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.0)</td>
<td>7.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>6.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females 65 +</td>
<td>12.3 (7.0)</td>
<td>10.2 (6.9)</td>
<td>8.9 (3.8)</td>
<td>11.1 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unweighted averages for 43 countries.
Standard deviations in parentheses.
mined from an analysis of variance procedure. The regional differences for household size are statistically significant.)

All of these estimates are significantly lower than corresponding averages for all individuals, with the differences ranging from 1.0 in Asia to 1.6 in Africa. This indicates that while older adults typically live in households that are smaller than average, they nevertheless live with several other household members. Subtracting 1 from the estimates gives the number of other members. The average elderly adult across the 43 countries therefore lives in a household with 4.5 other members. The last two rows of the middle panel of Table 1 compare the household sizes experienced by older males and females. Males live in slightly larger households than do females, in part because of a greater likelihood that older females are widowed and living without a spouse, although further tests revealed that these differences are not statistically significant.

Although the presence of others in the household is often desirable for older adults, and household sizes are generally large in the developing world, some older adults, nevertheless, live alone. The third panel in Table 1 presents statistics on these circumstances. Only a very small percentage of individuals of all ages live alone—on average 1.6 percent across all countries. However, this percentage is substantially higher for older persons (8.8). The average proportion living alone across all countries is nearly twice as high for women (11.1 percent) as for men (6.5 percent). Living alone is uncommon among older men in Asia (3.6 percent), probably because patriarchy is a stronger institution in this region than elsewhere. Differences are less noticeable across regions for women living alone. Many older adults who live alone have kin living nearby with whom support is exchanged; the extent of this presence is likely to differ by region and gender, but we cannot examine this issue with the limited data available in the DHS.

One other regional difference worth noting is in the country variation of the indicators assessed in Table 1. For instance, the standard deviation for household size among all adults 65 and older is more than twice as great across African and Asian countries than it is across countries in Latin America. This lack of variation in Latin America relative to other regions is evident for most indicators in Table 1, except for the percent of older men living alone, where the smallest variation exists across Asia. The standard deviations are generally highest for African countries. This finding suggests that the greatest diversity in living arrangement patterns exists within Africa, and the least diver-
sity exists within Latin America. Similar results will be found in a number of the other tables presented below.

### Relationship to head

Table 2 indicates the percent distribution, by region, of elderly males and females according to their relationship to the head of the household. Relationships among household members can be varied and complex, especially in large households. The DHS lists numerous categories of relationship to the head for every household member: head, spouse, parent or parent-in-law, son/daughter, sons-/daughters-in-law, grandchild, brother/sister, co-wife, adopted/fostered, other relative of the head (cousin, nephew/niece, uncle/aunt), and nonrelative (for example, domestic servant). The large majority of males—more than four out of five in every region—are heads of their households. This is not an unexpected finding, given established social customs throughout the developing world. Still, African males are more likely to be household heads than are those in Asia and Latin America. Most men who are not the head are the parent of the head. This is particularly the case in Asian countries, where 10.9 percent of older males are the parent of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>Latin America</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males 65+</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>89.0 (7.5)</td>
<td>83.1 (5.3)</td>
<td>83.9 (6.1)</td>
<td>86.4 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>2.1 (2.6)</td>
<td>1.8 (2.7)</td>
<td>3.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>4.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>10.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.6)</td>
<td>6.3 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent-in-law</td>
<td>0.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibling</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other relative</td>
<td>1.8 (2.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not related</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females 65+</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>40.2 (14.3)</td>
<td>26.3 (17.3)</td>
<td>39.7 (7.6)</td>
<td>36.5 (15.1)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>21.7 (6.7)</td>
<td>27.2 (7.7)</td>
<td>29.3 (6.3)</td>
<td>24.7 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>23.9 (11.3)</td>
<td>33.0 (16.6)</td>
<td>16.3 (4.4)</td>
<td>24.6 (13.0)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent-in-law</td>
<td>4.1 (4.6)</td>
<td>6.6 (5.0)</td>
<td>6.6 (4.2)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibling</td>
<td>2.4 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other relative</td>
<td>6.5 (5.1)</td>
<td>5.1 (3.9)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.3)</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not related</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unweighted averages for 43 countries.
Standard deviations in parentheses.
the household head. Otherwise, only very small proportions of elderly males are categorized as spouse, parent-in-law, brother/sister, other relative, or unrelated. The only other noteworthy difference is that males in Latin America are significantly more likely to be unrelated to the household head than are males in Africa or Asia, where nonrelatives living in the same household are particularly rare.

The corresponding estimates for females show considerably more diversity in relationship to head and greater variation across regions. Three categories are relatively common among older females: 1) head—ranging from 26.3 percent in Asia to 40.2 percent in Africa; 2) spouse—ranging from 21.7 percent in Africa to 29.3 percent in Latin America; and 3) parent—ranging from 16.3 percent in Latin America to 33.0 percent in Asia. These three categories together account for more than 80 percent of older females. Compared with their male counterparts, older females are more likely to be a parent-in-law, brother/sister, or other relative of the head, even though each of these categories accounts for only a small fraction of the total. As was the case for males, females are more likely to be a household head in Africa, a parent of the head in Asia, and unrelated to the head in Latin America.

Composition of households

Regional averages for the percent of elderly males and females living with different types of kin and others are presented in Table 3. A substantial majority of older males (76.7 percent) coreside with their spouse. Most also live with at least one of their adult children (52.5 percent) or with at least one young child (65.4 percent), and the large majority of them live with at least one adult (92.4 percent). The topic of coresidence with adult children will be discussed in more detail in a later section. A smaller but substantial proportion of males live with an adult who is not a child or spouse (27.2 percent) or with another elderly adult (29.8 percent).

The proportion of older females living with a spouse is much smaller than in the case of males (27.8 percent). Women experience a higher risk of death of a spouse because men are usually older than their wives and have higher age-specific mortality rates than women. Partly as a result, the proportions of women living with adult children (55.9 percent) and with other adults (40 percent) are generally higher than for men. The average proportion of females in Africa living with any adult (78.4 percent) is lower
than in other regions, while the proportion living with a young child (69.8 percent) is higher. In fact, about one in ten older women in Africa live with children and no adult. The relatively high probability that elderly African males and females live with a young child is mostly attributable to the higher fertility during the past 18 years in this region compared with the other two developing regions.

Variations across regions for these coresident situations are quite significant and some of these are worth noting. Both men and women in Asia have the highest likelihood of living with an adult child but the lowest likelihood of living with another adult. Elderly Latin American males are less likely than those in other regions to be living with a spouse or with any young child. Older African males and females are less likely than their counterparts elsewhere to be living with another elderly person.

SOCIOECONOMIC DIFFERENTIALS IN LIVING ARRANGEMENTS OF THE ELDERLY

To this point our focus has been on averages of measures of living arrangements of older adults across countries and regions in the developing world. We turn now to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Africa 65+</th>
<th>Asia 65+</th>
<th>Latin America 65+</th>
<th>Total 65+</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>78.2 (7.5)</td>
<td>77.8 (5.8)</td>
<td>70.4 (4.6)</td>
<td>76.7 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult child (18+)</td>
<td>45.9 (10.9)</td>
<td>66.3 (11.6)</td>
<td>52.5 (7.1)</td>
<td>52.5 (13.3)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any young child (0–17)</td>
<td>70.3 (8.6)</td>
<td>63.5 (15.3)</td>
<td>55.1 (10.1)</td>
<td>65.4 (12.3)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any adult (18+)</td>
<td>91.1 (5.0)</td>
<td>96.0 (1.9)</td>
<td>91.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>92.4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other adult (18–64)</td>
<td>31.6 (14.5)</td>
<td>17.5 (6.1)</td>
<td>27.7 (6.9)</td>
<td>27.2 (12.7)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other elder (65+)</td>
<td>24.1 (5.5)</td>
<td>34.8 (9.7)</td>
<td>38.4 (4.0)</td>
<td>29.8 (9.0)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unweighted averages for 43 countries.
Standard deviations in parentheses.
differentials between the urban and rural elderly and between those with and without formal education. Many demographic indicators (for example, fertility, mortality, age at marriage) differ between urban and rural areas and vary with level of education, and it is plausible to assume that living arrangements of older adults also vary among these groups. This assumption is borne out in previous country- and region-specific studies of older adults. For instance, DaVanzo and Chan (1994) found that older men in Malaysia with higher education are less likely to coreside with children, although less educational variation was found among older women. De Vos (1990) showed extended living arrangements to be more common in rural areas of Latin America than in urban areas, while Natividad and Cruz (1997) found that place of residence distinguished between a variety of living arrangements. These various arrangements have been explained as reflecting preferences and needs of older adults (DaVanzo 1994; Kim and Rhee 1997). Before exploring this issue further it should be noted that the socioeconomic characteristics of the elderly differ substantially from those of the general population. Compared with persons aged 18 to 65, older adults are more likely to live in rural areas and to have had substantially less schooling. In our sample of countries more than half of older males and about three-quarters of older females have had no schooling. This finding is not surprising because the elderly surveyed in the 1990s were of school-going ages in the early part of the twentieth century when school enrollment rates were much lower than they are today. In fact, in some of the least developed countries (Burkina Faso, Mali, Niger, and Yemen) the large majority of the elderly have had no schooling; indeed in Yemen the DHS recorded not a single older woman with schooling. Schooling levels are systematically higher among older males than females and this difference is found in every country.

Table 4 shows living arrangements of the elderly according to whether they have had some schooling or none. Although the DHS provides information on the number of years of schooling, only two levels are used here because in a substantial number of countries the fraction of older persons who have been to school is small, especially among females. Living arrangement indicators do not differ widely between urban and rural areas. For example, the average experienced household size is 5.7 for the urban elderly and 5.5 for rural elderly, and this difference is not statistically significant. Ur-
Urban–rural differences are also quite small for the percentage living alone, the percentage heading the household, and the percentage living with an adult child. However, the percentage living with a spouse is significantly higher in rural than in urban areas (54 versus 47 percent).

Schooling differentials are minimal for household size, percent living alone, and percent living with an adult child. But older adults with schooling are significantly more likely to head their household and to live with a spouse than are those with no schooling. The differentials in percentage heading the household and percentage living with a spouse also exist separately for males and females (data not shown). These effects might be explained partially by the positive associations between education on the one hand and socioeconomic status and income level on the other. Lower mortality among those with more schooling is probably also a contributing factor.

### SOCIOECONOMIC CORRELATES OF LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

We now examine country-level correlates of living arrangements. As discussed, regional averages differ substantially, but considerable additional variation occurs among countries within region for both men and women. Given the theoretical arguments presented earlier, we can hypothesize that part of the variation within regions is attributable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experienced household size</th>
<th>Percent living alone</th>
<th>Percent head of household</th>
<th>Percent living with spouse</th>
<th>Percent living with adult child</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>5.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>8.8 (5.8)</td>
<td>62.1 (9.2)</td>
<td>50.3 (8.9)</td>
<td>55.3 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>5.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>9.1 (6.5)</td>
<td>61.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>46.5 (8.7)</td>
<td>57.5 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>5.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>8.8 (5.1)</td>
<td>62.8 (8.6)</td>
<td>54.1 (7.4)</td>
<td>53.1 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No schooling</td>
<td>5.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>9.1 (5.2)</td>
<td>57.0 (10.3)</td>
<td>46.6 (9.4)</td>
<td>54.6 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some schooling</td>
<td>5.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>8.0 (5.4)</td>
<td>76.2 (10.0)</td>
<td>64.0 (10.4)</td>
<td>53.7 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unweighted averages for 43 countries, males and females combined. Standard deviations in parentheses.
to differences in levels of development between countries. If levels of development are operationalized according to standard socioeconomic indexes, such as GNP per capita or the percent with schooling, then we would expect countries scoring higher on these indexes to exhibit weaker extended kinship ties in their living arrangements and to be characterized by smaller household sizes and a higher percentage of older adults living alone. To test this hypothesis, we calculated a set of six regressions, one for each of the living arrangement measures discussed earlier. Explanatory variables include four country-level socioeconomic indicators—life expectancy, GNP per capita, percent with any schooling, and percent urban—as well as region and gender. For each country two data points are included, one for males and the other for females; each of the six regressions is therefore based on 86 observations.

The regression results are summarized in Table 5. The table shows that the hypothesized link between socioeconomic development and living arrangements is confirmed through strong and consistent associations between level of schooling (as mea-

| Table 5 Percentage regression results for specific living arrangement indicators |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                               | Household size  | Living alone    | Household head  | Living with spouse | Living with adult child | Living with other adult |
| Gender (1=F)                                  | –0.162          | 4.579**         | –49.672**       | –48.863**         | 3.400           | 12.833**         |
| Region                                        |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Africa                                        |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Asian                                         | –0.294          | –2.702          | –11.655**       | 4.888*           | 13.713**        | –12.217**        |
| Latin America                                 | –0.894*         | –4.242*         | –7.070          | 1.592            | 4.659           | –3.728           |
| Socioeconomic variables                       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Life expectancy                               | 0.055*          | –0.196          | –0.223          | –0.171           | 0.968**         | 0.322            |
| GNP per capita ($1000 US units)               | 0.004           | –0.041          | –0.006          | 0.165            | 0.052           | 0.020            |
| Percent with any schooling                    | –0.045**        | 0.118**         | 0.324**         | –0.043           | –0.262**        | –0.317**         |
| Percent rural                                 | 0.000           | –0.087          | 0.069           | 0.026            | 0.130           | –0.121           |
| Constant                                      | 5.389           | 18.209          | 78.959          | 82.604           | –0.442          | 39.657           |
| R²                                            | 0.733           | 0.628           | 0.893           | 0.926            | 0.651           | 0.509            |
| F                                             | 12.98**         | 7.27**          | 93.39**         | 139.482*         | 20.808**        | 11.556**         |

* .05 > p > .01; ** p < .01
Results from 43 countries with male and female data points for each country.
sured by the proportion of the adult population with schooling) and all indicators of living arrangements, except the proportion living with a spouse. Schooling is positively correlated with percent living alone and percent heading the household, but is negatively correlated with household size, percent living with an adult child, and percent living with another adult. Possible reasons for these findings will be discussed in the concluding section.

Among the other socioeconomic variables, two—GNP per capita and percent rural—have no apparent association with living arrangements. Life expectancy is associated only with household size and percent living with an adult child. As expected, both of these associations are positive. When life expectancy rises, the risk of household reduction due to death declines, which in turn decreases the risk of widowhood and increases the availability of children with whom older persons can coreside.

Another important finding in the table is that regional and gender effects remain strong after controlling for other factors, suggesting that not all of the associations seen earlier are a result of differences in socioeconomic characteristics. Asia differs in several ways from the other two regions. Negative regression coefficients indicate that the elderly in Asia are less likely to be household heads and less likely to live with another adult. Positive coefficients indicate that older Asians are more likely to be living with a spouse and with adult children. Latin America is not significantly different from Africa on four of the six measures; the exceptions are household size and percent living alone.

After controlling for the role of region and socioeconomic factors, the effects of gender (that is, the female–male difference) on the various indicators are similar in size and direction to those found in earlier analyses that had no controls. Fewer females than males are household heads or are living with a spouse, while significant positive coefficients indicate that more females live alone or with another adult. There are no significant gender effects when it comes to household size and living with adult children.

**Coresidence with Adult Children**

Coresidence of the elderly with an adult child is considered to be a central feature of the familial support system in much of the developing world (United Nations 2000). The bars in Figure 1 represent the regional means of the percent of older adults who
coreside with an adult child. These results indicate substantial variation among countries within regions but small differences between males and females across regions. The average across all countries is 53 percent for males and 56 percent for females. The regional average for coresidence with an adult child is substantially higher in Asia (66.3 percent for males and 68.3 percent for females) than elsewhere. Hence, although coresidence with adult children is common throughout the developing world, the likelihood of finding an older adult living with an adult child is greater in Asia than in Africa and Latin America. Country averages for each of the 43 DHS surveys are included in Figure 1. The variation across countries is largest in Africa, where the percent of older men living with an adult child ranges from 25 percent in Mozambique to 70 percent in Egypt. The range for older males in Latin America is narrower, reaching a low of 41 percent in Bolivia and a high of 60 percent in Peru. In Asia, the highest proportion of older men living with an adult child (80 percent) is observed in Pakistan. Similar levels of variation in country averages are observed for women, and according to the regression results
in Table 5 there is no significant difference between women and men in the percent living with an adult child.

We next examine whether older adults are more likely to be living with male or female children, and whether the observed tendency differs significantly across regions and other macro-level correlates. To do this, we construct a gender ratio, which is the percent of older adults within a country who coreside with a male adult child divided by the percent who coreside with a female adult child. For example, if 40 percent of older adults in a country coreside with an adult male child and 20 percent coreside with an adult female child, the gender ratio is 2.0, which implies a predominance of coresidence with male children. Based on the available DHS data, we can calculate gender ratios for all coresident children. Since the marital status of household members is not available for most DHS surveys, gender ratios for unmarried children cannot be determined. The gender ratios for married children are estimated indirectly by assuming that if an older adult lives with a son-in-law or daughter-in-law, then he or she is living with, respectively, a married daughter or a married son.

This gender ratio for all children (married and unmarried) can be interpreted as an indicator of gender preference if the availability of children of both genders is the same. This is approximately the case in the 43 countries in this analysis. However, inferring gender preferences from gender ratios is more problematic for married children, because equal availability of married male and female children cannot be assumed. Since the average age at marriage is usually lower for females across the developing world, one would expect availability to be higher for married daughters than for married sons. Only when gender ratios are very high do they definitely indicate a preference for living with sons rather than daughters.

Gender ratios by region and gender of the older adult are presented in Figure 2 for all coresident children and in Figure 3 for married coresident children. Point estimates for the individual countries are plotted on a logarithmic scale, so that a country with ratio of, say, 2.0 lies the same distance above the 1.0 line as a country with a ratio of 0.5 lies below 1.0. This arrangement is appropriate because each of these two points indicates the same coresidence tendency, though the first is for male offspring and the second is for female offspring, and a gender ratio of 1.0 implies a perfect gender bal-
Three conclusions can be drawn from Figure 2: 1) Coresidence with male offspring predominates. In all 43 countries the average gender ratio is 2.7, with 31 countries showing a male dominance compared to just 12 with a female dominance. 2) Regional differences are substantial, with the ratios considerably above 1 in most Asian and African countries, but not in Latin America. 3) Gender ratios of coresident children are similar for older males and females.

Figure 3 presents the average gender ratios for coresident married children in the three regions as well as point estimates for individual countries. Gender ratios are substantially higher for married children than for all children in Asia and Africa. In both of these regions, the average is about 15 married males for every married female coresiding with older parents, but ratios in a few countries surpass 100:1 in favor of living with males. These ratios are higher than those for all children and are probably more indicative of societal preferences. This is because unmarried children often live with their parents until they marry, and elderly parents’ preferences for coresiding with them are
typically weaker than their preferences for coresiding with married children. For instance, in the patriarchal system discussed by Mason (1992) it is clearly the norm for older adults to be financially supported by sons and cared for by a daughter-in-law. Although nearly all societies in Africa and Asia display son preference, there is some variation. Comoros, for instance, has a ratio of 0.14, indicating parents’ preference for living with daughters. Latin America is vastly different from Asia and Africa in that the gender ratio of coresident married children is near 1.0, and there is far less variation among countries. It is possible that in Latin America a modest preference for males is offset by a greater availability of married female offspring, but this question cannot be examined further for lack of marital status information.

In an earlier section we presented a regression analysis in which the proportion of older adults living with an adult child was the dependent variable. The main result from this regression was that, after controlling for other factors, coresidence with adult chil-

![Figure 3](image-url) Gender ratios for all married children coresiding with males and females aged 65 and older, by region
Children is positively associated with life expectancy and negatively associated with schooling levels. In addition, coresidence was significantly higher in Asia than elsewhere. We now extend this analysis by calculating regressions in which the gender ratio of adult coresident offspring (log) is the dependent variable, using the same set of explanatory variables (region, gender, life expectancy, GNP per capita, percent with schooling, and percent urban). The results are presented in Table 6, separately for all and for married coresident children. For ease of interpretation, the regression coefficients have been converted to multiplicative factors. For instance, in the regression for married coresident children (last column in Table 6), the coefficient for gender equals 0.636, which means that the gender ratio for elderly females is 0.636 times that for elderly males. This result, while not statistically significant, suggests that elderly females are more likely than elderly males to be living with female married children.

These regressions indicate that Asian countries have the highest gender ratios and Latin American countries the lowest. These regional effects are substantially greater for married offspring than for all coresident offspring. The level of schooling, earlier

<table>
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<tr>
<th>Table 6 Regression results for gender ratios of coresident children</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (1=F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life expectancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP per capita ($1000 US units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent with any schooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from 43 countries with male and female data points for each country.
Constant represents the expected gender ratio when all variables equal zero.
Dependent variable is the log of the ratio.
Coefficients represent multiplicative factors.
found to be inversely related to coresidence with an adult child, is also inversely related to the gender ratio of coresiding children. In other words, older adults who live in countries with higher levels of education are less likely to coreside with an adult child, and when they do they are less likely to coreside with a male adult child. None of the other explanatory factors is significantly associated with the average gender ratio.

**CONCLUSION**

In concordance with previous studies, we found that most older adults in the 43 developing countries studied here tend to live in large households, and they are likely to be living with an adult child, who is more likely to be male than female. However, there is substantial variation in living arrangements by gender and education, and several regional patterns exist. Estimates based on (unweighted) country averages indicate that nearly one in ten older adults lives alone, and the probability of living alone is greater for older women than men. Women are much less likely to live with a spouse or to head the household, while a slightly greater proportion of older women than men live with adult children.

Regional patterns include higher rates of coresidence in Asia, where nearly two-thirds of both men and women live with adult children. Although rates of coresidence are high in Africa, where nearly 50 percent of older adults live with an adult child, the elderly in Africa are most likely to live alone, to be head of a household, and to live with adults who are not offspring or spouses. Household sizes for the elderly are lowest in the Latin American countries studied here, while at the same time older Latin Americans are the least likely to be living alone. This is the result of less variation in household size among countries in this region than in Asia and Africa. Latin American countries displayed less variation in a number of other respects, such as the percent living with an adult child and the gender ratio of the coresiding children. The least amount of gender preference for coresiding children was observed across Latin America, and Asian countries displayed the strongest preference for living with male children. But these regional patterns should not cloud the substantial variations that exist among countries within regions, particularly in Africa and Asia. The most noteworthy finding from a set of regressions aimed at explaining these variations is a strong correlation between levels of
schooling and most living arrangement indicators. Where levels of education are higher, older adults live in smaller households, with fewer children and other adults, and are more likely to be alone.

The association between older adults’ schooling and living arrangement patterns tends to substantiate the hypothesis that older adults living in developing countries with higher scores for socioeconomic indicators are less likely to be living in extended families. This result seems to be in accordance with Goode’s (1963) convergence theory, suggesting a movement toward family nuclearization and a weakening of extended family links in conjunction with socioeconomic development. But not all of the socioeconomic variables we tested were correlated with living arrangement patterns. In fact, GNP per capita, percent rural, and life expectancy, measures that are considered important indicators of development, exhibited very little association with any living arrangement indicator. Apparently there is something unique about schooling and its influence on the households of older adults across the developing world. A full explanation of this association is beyond the scope of this study, but levels of schooling may be correlated with a variety of other factors that influence living arrangements. For example, countries with higher levels of education tend to have larger internal migration flows, as well as better government-funded social security and health programs for older adults. Despite the migration of adult children away from their parents, older adults may be more financially secure, in part as the result of remittances from their children. Furthermore, older adults with higher levels of schooling are generally better able to care for themselves because they are in better health and have greater earning power. The observed association between education and household structure may also be explained in part by a stronger preference for privacy among better-educated older adults or by a preference for privacy that cannot be expressed by the poor and least educated.

Besides displaying regional effects, gender ratios of coresident children also varied strongly by level of education. Older adults in countries with lower levels of education have higher levels of coresidence with male rather than female adult children (net of regional differences). One possible explanation is that in countries where education is lower, older adults own fewer resources. They are therefore more dependent on adult children, especially on male offspring, who are more likely to control household re-
sources. In these situations, the best strategy for an older person is to coreside with a male child.

Several weaknesses with the DHS data do not allow us to examine certain associations between parents and their children in greater detail, although these could provide impetus for future data collection efforts. Most of the DHS surveys contain limited information on the marital status of household members. It was therefore not possible to analyze gender ratios for unmarried children. More detailed information on the age of living children would also have been beneficial for further examining coresidential preferences across developing countries as they pertain to birth order (for instance, living with the youngest or older child). Moreover, information on noncoresident children and their proximity of residence to the older adult would be helpful. Past research has shown that the well-being of older adults depends not only on household members but also on contributions from noncoresident children (Siriboon and Knodel 1994). In a number of societies, the expression of independence by adult children is fulfilled by setting up a separate residence, but one that is near enough to parents so that opportunities remain for frequent contact and continued assistance. Perhaps most important, DHS surveys provide almost no information on the specific types of exchanges that take place within households. Although such information is sometimes collected in sample surveys of older adults in both developed and developing countries, we are clearly a long way from being able to investigate variations in the types of assistance provided to older adults across regions and levels of socioeconomic development.

Although these weaknesses suggest how future data collection efforts may improve our understanding of the determinants of living arrangements and well-being in developing countries, current DHS surveys have been an underutilized resource and future research could easily take further advantage of their breadth of geographic coverage. The rapid aging of many of the populations covered by DHS surveys, and the rapid socioeconomic and demographic changes now taking place throughout the developing world, provide impetus for further examining the conditions of older adults, including their living arrangements. In the current study, we focused on broad regional and socioeconomic variations and have, for the most part, ignored country-by-country differences that are no doubt interesting. Even though the scope of this study does not allow us to provide de-
tailed explanations for these patterns, DHS data can be used to undertake further in-depth analyses of country differences within regions. Africa and Latin America have been particularly understudied for lack of comparable data on older adults and their living arrangements, and we suggest that these regions are a legitimate focus for future research.
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