

7-2-2019

Social Behavior Change (SBC) Business Case and Costing—Slide deck

Breakthrough RESEARCH

Follow this and additional works at: https://knowledgecommons.popcouncil.org/focus_sexual-health-repro-choice

How does access to this work benefit you? Click here to let us know!

Recommended Citation

Breakthrough RESEARCH. 2019. "Social Behavior Change (SBC) Business Case and Costing—Slide deck." Washington, DC: Population Council.

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Population Council.

Breakthrough RESEARCH WEBINAR 2 July 2019

Social Behavior Change (SBC) Business Case & Costing







- Flagship SBC project for USAID Global
 Health Bureau to drive the generation,
 packaging, and use of innovative SBC
 research to inform programming
- Five-year project from August 2017 to July
 2022
- USAID HQ, cross-bureau, and mission supported activities
- Close collaboration with sister project
 Breakthrough ACTION



Areas of Focus



Health

Family planning & reproductive health

Maternal, newborn & child health

Nutrition HIV/AIDS

Emerging infectious diseases



Prioritized Research Themes

Integrated SBC programs

Provider behavior change

Investment case for and evidence of CE & CB of SBC

Gender and social norms

Behavioral economics innovations



Research & Evaluation

Formative assessment

Implementation science

Impact evaluation

Systematic reviews

Secondary analysis

Program costing and costeffectiveness assessment

B-R Consortium













Introductions



Jim Rosen
Senior Technical Advisor for
Costing and Financing
Avenir Health
Jrosen@avenirhealth.org



Willyanne DeCormier Plosky
Senior Policy Analyst
Avenir Health

WDeCormier@avenirhealth.org



Michelle Weinberger
Senior Associate
Avenir Health

Mweinberger@avenirhealth.org



Jim Rosen
Senior Technical Advisor for
Costing and Financing
Avenir Health
Jrosen@avenirhealth.org

Costing of Social and Behavior Change Interventions

Today's Webinar

- 1. Review why costing is important to the SBC community
- 2. Update on three B-R activities designed to improve and increase the use of costing analysis:
 - B-R SBC Cost Repository
 - B-R SBC Costing Guidelines
 - B-R SBC Business Case
- 3. Q&A

Why Costing is Important to SBC

	Budgeting	Price-setting	Medium- and long-term planning	Cost- effectiveness	Advocacy		
Understand this	How much does my SBC intervention cost?	How much does my SBC intervention cost you?	How much funding do we need for SBC interventions over the medium to long term?	Is it better to do SBC this way or that way?	What is the added value of SBC in improving health outcomes?		
Do this	Set an SBC intervention budget	"Sell" SBC services to funders	Feed into a Costed Implementation Plan or Health Sector Strategy	Compare different SBC approaches	Calculate cost- effectiveness and cost-benefit ratios for use in a business case		
Proakthrough PECEAR	Breakthrough RESEARCH						



Willyanne DeCormier Plosky
Senior Policy Analyst
Avenir Health
WDeCormier@avenirhealth.org

B-R SBC Cost Repository

Utilize existing cost information better

Why Needed

"No SBC cost data available"

Perception among policy makers there is no/little information to draw on.

Lack of standardization

Difficult to compare SBC cost data across programs.

Insufficient detail

3

Descriptive information and disaggregated cost data needed for analysis.

Poor accessibility

There is no centralized source for SBC cost data.

Breakthrough RESEARCH 10

4

Availability: SBC Cost Studies

130 Studies Included

Search

via PubMed, POPLINE, and Secondary Sources **N=7,251**

Review
Full text reviewed in detail
N=264

Include
Data extracted into database
N=130

- Published and grey literature
- Years: 1973-2018

By health area:

FP: ~35%

HIV: ~31 %

MNCH: ~16%

Malaria: ~5%

Availability: Cost Estimates

711 Cost Estimates

By Intervention Category*

Interpersonal Comms. ~40%
Packages/Community Mobilization ~32%
Mass/Digital/Social Media ~20%
Service/Program Activities ~7%

By Region

Africa 50%

South and Central Asia ~26%

Western Hemisphere ~15%

East Asia and Pacific ~4%

By Target Population

Women ~28%
General ~28%
Stigmatized ~11%
Adolescents ~10%

* Aligned with the impact framework

Standardization: Define SBC Interventions

Interpersonal communication (IPC)	Mass, digital and social media	Packages/ community mobilization	SBC service/program strengthening activities
Individual/household IPC and counselling Group IPC, incl. all peer and popular leader interventions	Mass media and entertainment education Mid-media (posters, brochures, billboards) Social marketing (product vs no product) Social media and m-health	Combinations of the intervention categories Community mobilization Community participation (in health service planning and programs) and social accountability	Provider training and service delivery adjustments to the provider-client interaction, e.g., provider attitudes, norms, respectful care, bias

Adapted from the PMNCH SBCE investment case framework

Standardization: Identify Key Categorization Fields

General Information

Citation

Health area

Ecological level

2

Intervention Details

SBC intervention type

Intervention description

Target population

3

Study Details

Geography

Delivery platform

Ownership (e.g., public, private)

4

Calculation Details

Number of people targeted

Number of people exposed/
participated

Denominator description

Standardization: Classify Cost Units and Input Categories



Cost type

Unit cost Total cost

SBC-only intervention, SBC component, mixed intervention, non-SBC component



Cost designations

Unit of measurement

Duration for cost
Economic/financial cost
Cost perspective
Intervention phase
Currency of the estimate
Cost data year



Cost disaggregation


Min, Max in range
Personnel cost
Commodities cost
Other recurrent cost
Capital cost

Revenue Client cost




Converted extracted costs from original currency (if not in USD) and THEN inflated to 2017 USD.

Detail: PRELIMINARY Findings from Cost Data



Costs vary by intervention category and by intervention type within each category



Accessibility: Disseminating SBC Cost Information



Cost data extraction

Data can be filtered to use for analysis

Detailed fields provided to clarify data and understand differences in estimates



Supplemental worksheets

Inclusion criteria
SBC definitions
Extractor instructions

ISO3 codes
GDP deflator data
Inflation ratios
Exchange rates



Web functionality

Package in a user-friendly, online database (pending)



Use Cases

Feed into SBC Business
Case and other
secondary analysis

What Gaps for Cost Data Remain?

- There is a need for more recent data, particularly as interventions and technology change
- There are gaps in SBC cost data geographically, demographically, by health area and intervention type
- There is no published guidance on standardized SBC costing methodology;
 new guidance forthcoming!
- Improvement in the reporting of SBC intervention descriptions, denominators, and costing methods is imperative

B-R SBC Costing Guidelines

Get better cost information in the future



Jim Rosen
Senior Technical Advisor for
Costing and Financing
Avenir Health
Jrosen@avenirhealth.org

Why Needed

Strengthen planning and investments

Difficult to invest and plan without standardized cost data of good quality.

Close gaps

3

Recent literature reviews and expert consultations have exposed wide gaps in measuring SBC cost.

Focus on SBC

Existing guidelines do not focus on the broad range and unique character of SBC interventions.

Increase availability

Guidelines are meant to encourage more costing of SBC programming, generating data to fill gaps.

4

B-R SBC Costing Guidelines



Who Can Use

Cost Analysts	SBC Programmers	Funders
SBC-specific guidance, defining SBC	 Facilitate conversation with outside analysts Make informed decisions about costing Understand whether cost estimates from other settings are relevant to you 	 Setting the scope for a costing Understanding what constitutes a good-quality costing Sense of methods and required resources

Example: SBC Interventions in Nigeria (In Progress)

B-R is evaluating Breakthrough ACTION's program in Nigeria.

- Vertical SBC approaches for malaria
- Integrated SBC approaches for malaria, family planning, MNCH, nutrition.



Nigeria Study: Key Questions

- What are the design costs? vertical vs. integrated SBC
- What are the *implementation* costs? vertical vs. integrated
 SBC
- How do preventive behaviors change? vertical vs. integrated
 SBC
- What are the costs associated with increased uptake of services and costs averted from increased preventive behaviors?
- What is the *relative cost-effectiveness* of vertical vs. integrated SBC in this setting?


The cost-effectiveness component employs a costing assessment that will measure the cost of designing and implementing SBC interventions and related service costs.

Is Integrated SBC Cost-effective?

Integrated will likely cost more...

Vertical Integrated Integrated design \$ Vertical design \$ Integrated SBC implementation \$ Vertical SBC implementation

... but may also be more effective





Michelle Weinberger
Senior Associate
Avenir Health
Mweinberger@avenirhealth.org

B-R SBC Business Case

How can cost data help make the case for SBC?

What is a Business Case?

- Origins in commercial world: gauge value of an investment
- Within global health: argument for investing in a particular health area or intervention
- Values returns in:



Monetary terms

- Health systems costs saved
- Productivity losses averted



Comparable health outcomes

- Disability adjusted life years (DALYs) averted
- Quality adjusted life years (QALYs) gained

B-R SBC Business Case

- B-R will produce a well-articulated, evidence-based SBC business case that will support work in a range of USAID priority health areas
- Multi-pronged, synergistic approach to gather, analyze, and present evidence on the costs and effects of SBC interventions
- Initial focus on family planning; can be expanded to other health areas and/or integrated SBC program approaches

Why Needed

Provide rigorous evidence to show that implementing SBC is crucial for improving program outcomes and assuring health and development impact

Aims:

- Bolster support for SBC efforts by providing evidence that such efforts are a cost-effective component of achieving public health goals
- Synthesize the evidence of SBC impact and cost-effectiveness to strengthen the case for maintaining
- Provide evidence and decision-making tools for prioritizing SBC efforts to guide programming on SBC approaches

Family Planning SBC Business Case

1. Case examples from the literature


Drawn from studies that report on cost-effectiveness identified as part of the B-R SBC Cost Repository

2. Modeling cost-effectiveness results for two countries





Modeling Cost-effectiveness of SBC for FP



Wrap-up

Wrap-up: Why Costing is Important to SBC

- For budgeting and planning
- To set appropriate prices for SBC interventions
- For comparing different, novel approaches
- To advocate for SBC with funders and policy makers

Wrap-up: Update on B-R Tools



B-R SBC Cost Repository: 2020

B-R SBC Costing Guidelines: Fall 2019

B-R SBC Costing Guidelines Application: Early 2021 (Nigeria mid-term)

B-R SBC Business Case: Fall 2019

THANK YOU

Breakthrough RESEARCH WEBINAR
July 2, 2019







BreakthroughAR

@Breakthrough_AR

Breakthrough_AR

https://breakthroughactionandresearch.org/

Breakthrough RESEARCH catalyzes social and behavior change (SBC) by conducting state-of-the-art research and evaluation and promoting evidence-based solutions to improve health and development programs around the world. Breakthrough RESEARCH is a consortium led by the Population Council in partnership with Avenir Health, ideas42, Institute for Reproductive Health at Georgetown University, Population Reference Bureau, and Tulane University.

Breakthrough RESEARCH is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of cooperative agreement no. AID-OAA-A-17-00018. The contents of this document are the sole responsibility of the Breakthrough RESEARCH and Population Council and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.





